Refee

31.7.99

278 of 98 S. R. 93 of 92

Genl. Records.

31/7/99

Clause first exp. of 93 of 92

AK

1-8-99 67 93 of 92-3 putup.

1-8-99 92-3, 1/8/99

Subd

(1) Insufficiently stamped

(2) Depositions order has not been produced.

2/8/99 Returned for rectification of defects

Signature in Kannada

S.O. No. 9531 of 1/4/95

F-93-92

Draft Order sent-up 9.8.99 Dy

2.

(1) 198

1899

No. 219

Name of Petitioner Ramavathi Ramanuja Jodidar of

Village Arasi

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 27-7-99

Date of Receipt 27-7-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

His petitions of 28-1-97 and 18-7-9

States that in 1896 and 97 he submi petitions to the Dy. Comr. praying that effect might be given to the order of Boa No. 3021/93 of 16-7-96 by letting him the Rajadi and Batai produce of the Vrit lands due to him from the year 188 when they were sold for default of pay of the Jodi Amount by the Jodidar up 1887 when they were placed in his pos according to the above order of Board. that he was referred to the Sub Division Off to whom he submitted several petitio that soon no orders were passed by the Sub Officer complying with his request - t on this he submitted

to Post. Nearly a sum of £6 6 0 is due to him. Pray that orders may be issued to the Dep. Jour. either to ~~pay~~ prisoner and credit the above amount towards the fine and Contributions amounts due by him to Post. or to remit the latter - and that he may be furnished with an Escort.

BK

29/7/99

(118)

No. Pet 219 F93-92 2nd Encl / Rs. 1895

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 219 dated 27—7—99 received at the Dewan's Office from Banavathi Nanjundiah poidar of Arodi, Doddballapur Tk, praying that orders may be issued for the collection of arrears of revenue due to him, &c

RR 59-88-89

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the ~~the~~ Dy Comr: of the Bangalore Dt: for ~~Enquiry~~ Report with reference to Govt: Pro: No 9531 dt 6-4-98 PF 93-92

Disp.

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE, 9/8/1899

9/11/12

Refer
4 10 99
2 19 9 99 Ref'd 3 11-8-99
P
Rec'd
4/10/99

Genl. Records.

Please put up B7 93 & 92

B7 93 & 92 put up.
4/10/99 5-10-99

Sub
(1) Two Rs stamps affixed - Rs/- stamp affixed

(2) Dy. Comr's order complained of is dated 15. 9. 99 - Sentence

(3) Petr prays for stay of further action in the matter of the sale, pending disposal of this petition.

Mdy.
5. 10. 99

Sub'd & Rec'd C'r & D's

5/10/99

Rd in Camp Mysore
7-10-99.
301

Please get this worked neatly

Result as directed

3/11/99

Received again on 5/11/99.

16

1899

No. 435

Name of Petitioner Ranavarthi Nanjundappa

Village Jodi Arodi

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 2-10-99.

Date of Receipt 2-10-99

Date of Dispoal

No. of Enclosures four.

ABSTRACT

Appeal against order of the Dy. Comr Bangalore Dt. Rec'd No. 6 dated 15-9-99 declining to cancel the sale of petr's vrittis in the said Jodi village for arrears of earlier Kadatan.

The order is as follows "notice of demand for the amount due for the year 1898-99 was served on you as well as the sale is therefor. The Amildar in charge of the Sale had held the sale accordingly

according to rules and made a report in the matter. The Sale was thereupon confirmed and the matter cannot be reopened.

Grounds of appeal.

(1) The appeal as noted in the petition are not legally due, and besides the amount specified in regard the petn is much more than what was actually due from him

(2) The sale proceedings have not been conducted according to Rev. Rules.

3). The division officer has made a note at the foot of the sale petn that he had to adopt the sale owing to there being no proper bids, and that fresh valuation might be issued

(4). The Dy Comr was wrong on the face of the above records to have held that the sale was regular and to confirm the sale

(5). The Dy Comr has failed to give due consideration to the report of the division officer made in connection with the sale report,

in which the Hon'ble Court recommended that, as the full amount decreed was paid by the petr before the sale was closed and as proper bids were awaiting, the amount tendered by the petr might be credited to account and the amount of purchase money refunded to the purchaser.

(6). The Dy Comr has failed to give him proper hearing though the petr had complained in the matter ~~on 30th the 30th of June and~~ by petns dated 30th June and subsequent dates, and though the petr had sent the papers and the Court issued to him by Court in the matter

(7) Though the petr vide to the Dy Comr the Court Rule 18/94 dated 28-1-95 issued to him by Court before the sale the Dy Comr has failed to give due regard to the said order of Court.

(before the sale was confirmed)

(8) The Dy Comr has not given due regard to the remarks of the officer who held

held the sale, that the
pro estate (worth about
Rs 2000/-) fetched only
a very low bid at the sale
and that no another sale
-tion should be issued.

Besides the recommenda-
-tion of the division officer
referred to above has not
been regarded by the Dy
Comr.

(9) Though the petr applied
for copies of all the papers
relating to the sale only

the (10) copies of certain
papers (which would throw
light on the illegality of
the procedure) were re-
-fused to be given, petr
submits that ~~of~~ the records
~~may~~ may be sent for and perused
and the truth ascertained.
~~the [illegible] [illegible]~~.

(11). Petr submits that
he ~~[illegible]~~ paid Rs 1500
within the time as required
in this office Endt No
1574 dated 28-1-95 and
has been paying Rs 300/-
every year without failure.

(12) Petr further submits that
if effect had been given to
this office Endt No 1999 dated
1st April 1898 and the amount
due to petr recovered ~~and~~
the amount due from petr
might have been adjusted

(13) Though counsel of the other Vrittidars whose Vrittis also were sold, paid the amount due even after the date in which the petr paid his amount, and though some even failed to pay their dues, the Dy Coll has shown them concession only denying it in the petrs case.

Prays on the above grounds for the cancellation of the sale as prayed for by him and for issue of orders to stay further measures pendente lite the question is disposed of by Court.

3.10.79

46

Refce

4-10-99

219 of 99 Ret 793-92 of 11-8-99

4-10-99

Genl Records—

Please put up P 793 of 92.

P. 793 of 92 put up 4-10-99

5-10-99

LR 59-88-41

1899

No. 735

Name of Petitioner Banavati Nanjundabba

Village Jodi Arodi

Taluk Dod Ballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 2-10-99

Date of Receipt 2-10-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Four

Sub d.

(1) Two Rs stamps affixed, Stamps Suffts

(2) The Dy Commrs order complained of is dated 15-9-99. In time

(3) Petr prays for stay of further action in the matter of the sale pending disposal of this petition

The Rule has been confirmed by the Dy Commrs so that does Petr mean by stay of further measures. Please give me the facts of the case

15/10/99

Dft O.M. + inst put up 15/10/99

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dist, Endorsement No. 65 dated 15-9-99 declining to cancel the sale of the petitioner's vrittis in the said Jodi village for arrears of contribution

The order is as follows

"Notice of demand for the "amount due for the year "1898-99 was served on you "as well as the sale Istthikar. "The Asst Commr in charge of "the Taluk had held the sale "according to rules and made "a report in the matter. The "sale was thereupon confirmed

and the matter cannot be reopened."

Grounds of appeal

(1) The arrears noticed in the isthihar are not legally due and besides, the amount specified against the petitioner, is much more than what was actually due from him,

(2) The sale proceedings have not been conducted according to Rev: Rules,

(3) The Divisional officer has made a note at the foot of the sale patti, that he had to stop the sale owing to there being no proper bids and that fresh isthihar might be issued;

(4) The Deputy Commissioner was wrong in the face of the above remark in having held that the sale was regular and in confirming the sale,

(5) The Deputy Commr has failed to give due consideration to the Yadi of the Divisional Officer made in connection with the sale report in which the Dy. Commr has recommended that, as the full amount due,

was

was paid by the petitioner before the sale was closed and as proper bids were wanting, the amount tendered by the petitioner might be credited to account and the amount of purchase money refunded to the purchaser,

(6) The Deputy Commr has failed to give him proper hearing though the petitioner had complained in the matter by petitions dated 30th June and of subsequent dates and though the petitioner had submitted the papers and the endorsement issued to him by Govt, in the matter.

(7) Though the petitioner submitted to the Deputy Commr the endorsement No. 1574 of 28-1-95 issued to him by Govt before the sale was confirmed, the Deputy Commr has failed to give due regard to the said order of Government

(8) The Deputy Commr has not given due regard to the

remarks of the Officers who held the sale, that the Estate (worth about Rs 20000/-) fetched only a very low bid at the sale and that another is thikbar should be issued). Besides, the recommendation of the Divisional Officer referred to above has not been regarded by the Deputy Commr!

(9). As copies of certain papers (which would throw light on the illegality of the procedures) were refused to be given, petitioner submits that the records may be sent for and perused and the truth ascertained,

(10) Petitioner submits that he paid Rs 1500 within the time as required in this Office End^{t} No 15748 of 28-1-95 and has been paying Rs 500 every year without failure,

(11) Petitioner further submits that if effect had been given to this Office End^{t} No 1999 of 1st April 1898 and the amounts due

due to petitioner recovered), the amounts due from petitioner might have been adjusted,

(12) Though some of the other vrittidars whose vrittis also were sold, paid the amount due even after the date on which the petitioner paid his amount, and though some even failed to pay their dues, the Deputy Commr. has shown them concession only denying it in the petitioner's case.

Prays on the above grounds for the cancellation of the sale as prayed for by him and for issue of orders to stay further measures till the question is disposed of by Government.



735-R
2-10-99

Court fee stamp affixed may.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ರಾಜಾ ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾ.

ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಪೀಲು ಜುರಿಸ್ಡಿಕ್ಷನ್

ಸನ್ 1879 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಗಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಹೆ 2 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಪೈಕಿ ಮೃತರಾದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಅಂಕಸಂದ್ರ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಜೋಯಿಸ ಬೈರವಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳವರ ಕುಮಾರ ಜೋಯಿಸ ಅಚ್ಯುತಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳವರು ಸನ್ 1898 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳು 1 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ 1999 ನೇ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಪ್ರಮಾದೀ ನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪುತ್ರರಾಗಿ ಮೃತರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಧರ್ಮಪತ್ನಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 13 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ 4 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮೃತರಾದರು. ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 16 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಸೋಮವಾರ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು 2 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಾಹುಕಾರ್ ಬೈರವರು ಮೃತರಾದರು. 15-9-99 ನೇ ವರ್ಷಂ 65 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಮಂತಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಆಸ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಹುಕಾರ್ ಬೈರವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಧರ್ಮಪತ್ನಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 13 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ 4 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮನವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 16 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಸೋಮವಾರ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು 2 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಾಹುಕಾರ್ ಬೈರವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಧರ್ಮಪತ್ನಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 13 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ 4 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮನವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಸನ್ 1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 16 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಸೋಮವಾರ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು 2 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಾಹುಕಾರ್ ಬೈರವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಧರ್ಮಪತ್ನಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮನವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು.

ಅಪೀಲು ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು

ಅಪೀಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳು :—

1. ನೀವು ವಿವಾದದ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯ ಉತ್ತರ ಎಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದದಲ್ಲ ಆದರಿಂದ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಕಾರಣ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ನೀವು ಹಾಜರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಕಾಲಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

3. ನೀವು ಸಬ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರು ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಕೇಸಿನ ಸಾಲಗಳ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನಿರಾಜಾ ಉತ್ತರ ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ 23.6.99 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ನೀಡಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನದಿಂದ ಆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸದಿದ್ದಾಗಲೂ

4. ನೀವು ಬಂದಾಗ ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿ ಬಿಳ್ಳಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಕ್ರಮವಾದ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು ಅಕ್ರಮ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾದ್ದು.

5. ನೀವು ಸಬ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಆಫೀಸರು ಈ ಹಾಜರಿ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಬಿಳ್ಳಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಬರವಣಿಗೆ ಬರದಿರುವಂತೆ ದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರು ಕ್ರಮ ಪೂರೈಸುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಕಾರಣ ಎಂದು ಬಂದು ಉಳಿದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ನಿರ್ಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೂಲಗಳು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಹಾಜರು ದಾರರ ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೂಲಗಳು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಎಂದು ದಿನಾಂಕ ಸಬ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರು ಬರೆದು ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಬಿಳ್ಳಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಬರವಣಿಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸದೇ ಇರುವುದು ಅನ್ಯಾಯ.

6. ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಬಿಳ್ಳಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಬರವಣಿಗೆ ಜೂನ್ 30 ರ ಜುಲೈ 3 ರ ಜುಲೈ 13 ಈ ತಾರೀಖುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದರು ಕೂಡ ದಾಖಲಾತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡದೇ ಇದ್ದದ್ದು ಅನ್ಯಾಯ.

7. ನೀವು ಬಿಳ್ಳಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿ ಉದ್ದೇಶಪೂರ್ವಕದಿಂದ ಪರಿಗಣಿಸದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 28 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 95 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

15'74 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಅಪ್ಪನಿಂದ ಬಳುವಳಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಸೇರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1574 ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಹಿಸ್ಸೆಯೂ ಸೇರಿ 20000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕ್ರಯದ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬೆಲೆ ಬಾಳುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಹಾಗೂ ಅವನ ಅಣ್ಣ ತಮ್ಮಂದಿರು ಸೇರಿ ಖರೀದಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತವಾದ 95 ನೇ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಿ 1574 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂದು 98 ನೇ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಿ 1999 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂದು. ಮೊಂಗಳೂರು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಉಪನೋಂದಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಪ್ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಅಂತಾ ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 11.4.99 ನೇ ಮತ್ತು 65 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಮುಕ್ತೇಸರ ತಾಲೂಕು 13.4.98 ನೇ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಿ 135 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಇಂದು ಮೊಂಗಳೂರು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಉಪನೋಂದಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಪ್ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 99 ನೇ ಮತ್ತು ಸರಿ ಶನಿವಾರ 13 ನೇ ಸಲ್ಲಿ ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ 65 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಮುಂತಾದವು ಇಂದು ಮೂಲ ಪುಲಭೂಮಿ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

10 ನೇ ದು. ಮತ್ತು ಹರಾಜಿನ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಹಣಿ ವಾರ್ಡ್ [redacted] ಹೆಸರು ತಿದ್ದಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರಕಾರಿಗಳು 25 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹರಾಜು ಪಕ್ಕಿಂದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ದೂರವಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಅವರ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಗಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಡವಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹರಾಜಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಬಿಡುವ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರಣ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಎಂದು ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಆದೇಶ

[illegible] ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರೊಂದಿಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಕ್ಕೆ ಪಿಲಿ ರವೀಂದ್ರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ಸಾಹಿಬ ಶವರಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಂ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು
11.ಪಿದು. 9ನೇ ಲಗಾಯಿ ಜಪ್ತಿವರಿಕಾರಿಕೆಯ 28 ನೇ 1974 ನೇ ಸಂಬಳದ
ಕ್ರಯಂಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಂದ ಅಸಂವಕ್ತಿಕ ಜೂನ್ ಅಖೈರಿಗೆ 1500
ಸಾಲದ ಪಿದುಗೂರು ರೂಪಾಯಿಯಂತೆ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಿ ಆಲಾಗಾಯಿ
ಕ್ರಯ ಹೋಗಿ ಉಳಿದ ಸಾಲ ಅಖೈರವರಿಗೂ ಕ್ರಯಂಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಆಗು
ಕ್ರಯ ಹೋಗಿ ಉಳಿದ ಸಾಲ ಅಂದರೆ 5000 ಪಿದುಗೂರು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

12 ನೇ ಪಿದು. ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹೊಸ್ಕಳ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸರಕಾರ
ಸುಪರ್ದಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಉದ್ದಾಳಗಳ ಗಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಿರುವ
ಪಾರು ಬಾಕಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಗಡಿಗುರುತಿಸಿ
ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಪಿಲಾಂದರು ಕ್ರಯಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾಗಲಿ
ಪಿಲಿಯಂತೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ 1/8 ಭಾಗದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 1999 ನೇ ಸಂಬಳ
ಕ್ರಯಂ ಉದರಲ್ಲಿ ಲಗತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಂತೆ ಬಾಕಿ
ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಉದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಹದ್ದುಬಸ್ತು
ಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಉಳಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಳಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಬಿಡಿ
ಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಆದೇಶ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಈ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಹಕ್ಕುದಾರರು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ
ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸುವವರಿಗೂ ಹಕ್ಕುದಾರರು
ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಿಸದಂತೆ ಎಂದೂ ಆದೇಶ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷೆ
ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಿದ
ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಪರಿಸಿ ಪಂಚಪರಂಪರಿಯಾಗಿ ಜೀವನಮಾ
ಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಂಚಪರಂಪರಿಯಾಗಿ ಜೀವನಮಾ
ಡಲು ಪ್ರತಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

[illegible]

Pet 893-92 (464) 47 Pr 20-10-99 23/11/99 2/0

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 735 dated 2—10—99 received at the Dewan's Office from

Q.R. 5-9-88-62

Banavati Ranjundappa of post Arasi village of Doddaballa pur Taluk, appealing agai the order of the Deputy Comm. Confirming the sale of the petitioner's Vrittis in the said Village, for arrears of [redacted] re

is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
18-11-1899

22
23

(48)

1900.

No. 563.

Name of Petitioner Nanjundiah Jodidar of

illage Banavathi

aluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

(Petn written from Anantapur).

Date of Arzi 12-9-00.

Date of Receipt 21-9-00.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petn of 2-10-99 and 13 subsequent reminders in re the case of his native village, Doddaballapur Tk:

States that though it is nearly one year since the Office letter No. 7359/15-11-99 was given to him, informing that the report with records of the case has been called for from the Dy: Commr:, no thing has been done

2 Secretary.

In what stage is this Appeal?

I handed a list of Petns pending. It has not been sent to me yet.

Dy. I.R.A.T.
19/9/00.

Office.
Put up Soon.
Dy. C.S.
21-9-00.

372/00 Pet. P.93-92 D 18-11-99.

(B) 25/9

D 793 99-100 relating to the Petn came obtained from the Council clerk + put up

All
26/9/00 Subd to Offg. Dewan
Subd Report is due from the D/com
The last (5th) reminder is going today.

25/9/00. 28/9/00.

This state of things cannot be tolerated - a report called for 23 Nov 99 is still due notwithstanding the four reminders already sent. The Govt view the disposal this scandalous delay on the part of the D/com & expect the report to be received immediately.

as yet of That he is put
to much loss and trouble
in consequence of the
attachment and sale
of the said village.
Prays for early orders
in the matter.

GRC
22/9/10.

Mr. Venkatasubbiah
Received 21-9-1900
Translated 21-9-1900

Jamabandi 213 563
12th September 1900

To

563
21-9-1900

Raja Dharma Praveena
V. R. A. Thumboo Chetty Esqr C.S.I
Offg Dewan to the Government of
Mysore

The humble petition of Narayanaiah Jodidar of Banavathe, Doddaballapur Taluk.

Most Honoured Sir,

In continuation of my appeal petition dated 2nd October 1899 and 13 subsequent reminders in re the sale of my Inam Village called Herode in the Doddaballapur Taluk, I most respectfully beg leave to inform that no final orders were passed by the Government regarding my appeal which is long pending before Government.

The Endorsement No. 735, dated 18th November last, issued by the Government intimated that the appeal petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for the submission

of the records. Though it is nearly a year, I am sorry to intimate your Honour, whether the records called for in Government Order were submitted to Government for decision or not. If not I most respectfully beg leave to request your Honor once more to issue necessary orders to the Deputy Commissioner to submit the records as early as possible for disposal.

3. If there were no loss and trouble to me regarding the attachment and sale of the above village I would not have troubled your Honors by means of reminders and thus dwell upon your Honor's most valuable time.

In conclusion I most earnestly and respectfully beg leave to request Your Honor to issue necessary orders to dispose off the appeal and relieve me from the difficulties and troubles I am subjected to for a very long

time.

For which act of Justice I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain

Most honoured and respected Sir,

Your Honor's most obedient and humble

Servant.

(419) 1
216

P 793 of 92 containing a/c relating to the subject referred to in forwarded to Council clerk with Pet^n dtd 5.10.1900.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2386.
SEP 26 10
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Pet 693 of 1900/1901

FROM The Dy Commissioner of the Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue and Genl Depts

Dated 22nd
Despatched 25th } September 1900

Received

General No.

D No. 490

Enclosure No.

AK
27/9/00

L25-9-00-44

Secretary's official memorandum No. Pet 793-92 dated the 22nd November 1899

Recd 12.10.1900 from the Council clerk for.
Subjd with the file since recd
Mally

Submits a report on the appeal preferred by Banawati Sanjeevadappa of Jodi Arodi village of Doddaballapur Taluk against the order confirming the sale of the petitioner's Virtties in the said village for arrears of Jodi

The date fixed for sale was the 16th June 1899. The sale was begun on the same day, but adjourned to the 17th for want of bids. ~~For the~~ On that day, as there were other sales to be held and as the 18th & 19th were holidays, the sale was again adjourned to the 20th. again adjd to the 21st for want of bids. Sale held on the 21st, Bayalore Subbanna being the highest bidder for Rs 4000.

~~If in the event whereon~~ The Asst Commr's remark adjourning the sale of other Virtties written on the Hasy Pass, to the next day applies to the above Virtties as appl^d to the Asst Commr's letter Virtties also. There is no remark to shew that the sale was finally concluded.

No forfeiture order has been passed.

Value of property not given in the sale proclamn.

The defaulter ~~stated that he~~ paid the amount the next day, ~~but~~ the Divl Officer recommended the cancellation of the sale, but it was confirmed by the Dy Commr.

27/10/00.

Pet
26.9.00
25

227

D No 490

Cri: Records

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 22nd September 1900

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev and Genl Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. Pet 393-92 dated the 23rd November 1899, calling for a report on the appeal-petition of Banawati Kamundappa of Jodi Arvadi, Doddballapur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith, the records on the subject containing this office English memo, and the orders passed by the then Deputy Commissioner.

2. It will be seen therefrom that the

The records at first came up before this office for determining whether the Sale might not be cancelled, as the petitioner paid up his dues before its formal Confirmation. Seeing that the petitioner failed to pay the arrears due by him for the year 1898-1899, though a notice was issued to him and the other Vrittidars, and as all the formalities required in connection with the Sale, seemed to have been duly observed, as required by the Land Revenue Code and Rules, the Divisional Officer was requested in this Office yadi No. 85 of the 13th September 1899, to Confirm the Sale after adding certain papers that were then wanting in the file of records on the Subject.

3. On going through the entire records, which were called for as required in the

Survey

mining under Reply, it was found that the declaration of forfeiture was not in the file. After an enquiry, Assistant Commissioner Mr. P. Seetharamaiya, who conducted the sale, reported that, as the village had not been released from the attachment previously made for bringing it under Government management (Vide Government Proceedings No. 11872 of the Pet 393-92 7th February 1895) a reiteration of the declaration of forfeiture was considered unnecessary.

The Khans have therefore declaration has been necessary before sale can take ordered. 2

4. Now for the facts of the case:—

The petitioner has signed both the demand notice and the sale notification. The sale was conducted by the Divisional officer in the presence of the Amildar and the Inamdars, &c. The Divisional officer ~~made~~ made every endeavour to secure proper bids, adjourned the sale from day to day

to

Under
attachment
£ 80 in the
trust of Sor-
there is greater
reason for
formal
forfeiture

to attract bidders and on the 4th day the property was knocked down to one Subbamma for Rs 4000/

5. The Amildar in his No. 789 of the 19th April 1899 to the Divisional Officer, reported that the Jodidars were always throwing impediments in the way of collection after the Conclusion of the Sales for arrears of revenue due. The petitioner had ample notice to pay up the arrears due by him; but he did not offer to pay the amount due until the Sale was concluded: Therefore, the Sale was Confirmed, in Order No. 85 of the 13th September 1899, above referred to. It is against this Order that the petitioner has appealed.

6. As regards the allegation in the appeal petition (para 1) that the demand was

2 ½ pp's

was incorrectly entered in the notice, I

have to State that as explained in para 2

above, and in the Amildar's report No. 480

of the 15th May 1900, Rs 2038-7-3 were due

	Rs	as	Ps	
Principal	1512	1	3	by the Vrittidars,
Instalment due	500	—	—	but the amount
Cost incurred	1	4	—	due for the
Interest	25	2	—	whole Village
Total	2038	7	3	was entered in
				the notice

issued jointly to all the Vrittidars and

served on each of them including the

petitioner

7th The petitioner further says

(in para 12) that if the arrears due by the

ryots for previous years, when the village

was under Government management, had

been recovered, the arrears under reference

would not have accrued at all. After

the receipt of Government Orders that the

Jodidars should be given needful help

in the matter, a Kulwar Sanjaishi patti

showing

showing the amount of arrears since recovered by the Inamdars from each rayat, and the balance due to the inamdars, was called for from them by means of a notice duly served by the Amildar. The Jodidars did not Comply with this requisition, and no Steps Could, therefore, be possibly taken for the recovery of this amount.

8. The petitioner in para 10 of his petition, States that certain Copies — applied for by him — were not granted. Copies of the final order issued to the Amildar, and of Such other records as are allowed by Government Order No 4082 Petition 864 of the 12th October 1895, were — duly furnished

9. It is also Stated in the petition

that

No. 283 Steps were taken on the ——

marginally noted petitions, whereas all of them have —

- Petition No. 41 of the 30th June 1899 / 8th July
- Petition No. 61 of the 3rd / 19th September 1899
- Petition No. 65 of the 13th / 16th September 1899

received due consideration, and been disposed of by endorsements or reference to the Divisional Officer for disposal.

10. The enclosures of the memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

224

Read /

Petition [redacted] Reg. No. 563 of the 12th Sept. 1900 from Nanjundiah, Jadidar of Bahavathe, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore District, complaining that [redacted] his appeal petition regarding the Dale of his Inam village of Aerepa has not yet been disposed of

LR. 59-88-45

Read also this Office memorandum No. Pet. 793-92 of the 22nd Nov. 1899 calling for the records and a report on the facts of the case; and four reminders thereto.

No. 2904-11 dt 7/10/05
P.T. 93-92

Order thereon

The report called for by Govt. on 22nd Nov. 1899 is still due from the Dy Comm., Bangalore Dist., notwithstanding five reminders already sent to him. The Govt. view with displeasure this reprehensible

delay on the part of the Dy. Commr. Rhotas, and hope that he will intimate at once when the reply from him may be expected and what the cause is of the delay in furnishing

2. I [illegible] that all reports from them will receive personal and prompt attention from the Secretary.

Sec Jr.

To The Dy Commr, Bangalore

Cols Cordy Canary Dist

To be issued to the Taluk Officers in print-all. [illegible] MS.

14549

28.9.19

Fair copied & a copy for the press prepared & issued. Cols. 1/10/00

30/9/100

Rev (51) 226.

~~188~~ 1900 - 1901

From

The Dy. Commissioner of the Bangalore District



To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue & Land Revenue Dept.

Dated 6th October 1900.

Despatched

Received

General No. D 566.
No.

Enclosure No.

LR-59-88-46

Government Proceedings No. 2904-11 / P.S. 93-92 of the 1st October 1900

States that the preference re the sale of the Inam village of Arodi in the Hoskote Taluk was answered long ago.

Let
11/10/00

Rec 11/10/00

Write as recommended
7 rows

General No: 2386 / D.69 } 22-9-00
from the Bangalore letter No. 490 relating to the appeal of Banavathi Ranganathaiah of Jodi Arodi village, was given to the Council clerk.

All
11/10/00

Subd. The order reprimanding the delay on the part of the Depty Comr was received by the Asst Revenue from Camp on the 30th Sept'00. As it was not an ordinary post, the Clerk on duty (being Sundays & Holidays) despatched the order not knowing that the report of the Depty Comr had been received & sent to Camp to the Council Clerk for submission for orders -

11/10/00 Who is this clerk?
Rec
11/10/00.

No. C. 566.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore. 6th October 1902

To.
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Genl Departments

Sir,

In reply to the Government Proceedings No. 2904-11 / P.793-92 dated the 1st October 1900, calling for a report regarding the appeal of Nanjundia Jodidar of Banavar in the Doddballapur Taluk of this District complaining of the sale of the Inam village of Avadi in the Doddballapur Talukⁿ I have the honor to state that the reference from Government was answered long ago in this office letter No 490 dated the 20th September 1900.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Print (53)

Before the Government of Mysore.

228

Present:- P.R. ~~Krishna~~ Kunte Esq, C.I.E.,
V.P. Madhavarao Esq, C.I.E..
Appeal No. 20 of 1899 - 1900.

L.R. 59-88-47

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Banavati Ranjindaya
of Jodi Aroodi village,
Doddballapur Taluk.

Chattada Subbanna
of Bangalore

Decision

Facts of the case:- This is an appeal against the order of the Dy Commr, Bangalore District, confirming the sale for revenue arrears of certain shrotries belonging to the appellant in the Aroodi Jodi village of the Doddaballapur Taluk.

The village having fallen into arrears of jodi due for the year 1898-99 (and previous years also) a demand notice was issued to all the jodidars collectively demanding from them the arrears of jodi together with the ~~cost~~ of the amount of contribution payable by ~~them towards~~

Subd to 2nd Coctr Res
Draft prepared as per orders of the 1st Coctr & Subd to the 2nd Coctr for approval.
Res
1/3/07

Re 1/3/07
145

Resubd to 2nd Coctr with the information called for.
Res
11/3/07.

Re 11/3/07

Print Fees
13/3/07

the cost of repairs of the village
tank executed by Govt. The notice
was served on all the jodidars
on 26th March 1899. The default
having continued, the village
was advertised for sale separately
by vitties on 16th June 1899 in
the Doddballapur
Taluk cutchery. The sales
were conducted by Mr. P. Seetha
ramaiya, Divisional Officer,
The sale of 15 21/64
vitties belonging to the appellant
vitties began on 16.6.99 and
was adjourned to 17th, 20th & 21st
June for want of bids. On the
last date they were knocked down
to the Respondent Subbanna for
Rs 4000/-. At the foot of the Kanya
kathi the Dy. Officer noted that
the sales were stopped for want
of proper bids and that fresh
jodidars should be issued.

On 5.7.99 the Amildar.

submitted the sale records to the Divisional Officer adding that the purchaser had paid up the full amount of purchase-money within the due date, that is on the 5th July following and that the defaulter had also paid up the arrears on 23-6-99 and that the same was held in deposit.

On what date?

The deposit amount of Rs 1000 was paid on the 21st June '99, when the sale took place and the remaining sum of Rs 3000 was paid on the 5th July following, that is within 15 days from the date of sale.

On 31st July 1899 the Dy Commr recommended to the Dy Commr that as the price realised at the sale was very low and that the appellant had paid up the arrear the sale might be cancelled and the purchase-money refunded to the purchaser. But the Dy Commr confirmed the sale on the ground that there was no irregularity in publishing or conducting the sale. The appellant has appealed against this order and urges that the demand was in excess of the sum due by him

On what date

On 13/9/'99.

that the arrears accrued at the time when the village was under Govt management, that the District and Taluk authorities did not give him proper assistance in recovering the same, that the amount of contribution had not yet fallen due, that the price realised at the sale was very low and that the sale had been adjourned for more than 3 days in contravention of para 3 of Section 250 of the Land Revenue Rules. He prays that as he has paid up the whole of the arrear the sale might be cancelled.

In this case, Govt are not satisfied that an adequate price was realised for the Vritties sold. The remark made by the Divisional Officer, Mr. P. Seetharamaiya

who conducted the sale,
at the foot of the hand bill
that the sale should be
stopped for want of proper
bids and that fresh
sale Notification should be
issued ~~for~~ appear to which shew that
the sale was not ~~for~~ finally
concluded. Subsequently
when the records were
submitted to him by the
Auildar for confirmation
the Divisional officer
made a distinct recommendation
to the Dy Commr that as
the amount of the bid
was low and as the appellant
had paid up the arrears,
the sale might be cancelled.
That officer however took
a different view and
confirmed the sale. From
a perusal of the records,
Govt are of opinion, as
already remarked, that
an inadequate amount
has been realised for

2-34

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 15th March 1901.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PRESENT:

P. N. KRISHNA MURTI, ESQ., C. I. E.,

AND

V. P. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., C. I. E.,

Appeal No. 20 of 1899-1900.

Appellant	*Versus*	Respondent
Banavati Nanjundaiya of Jodi Arudi village, Dodballapur taluk.		Chatrada Subbanna of Bangalore.

Facts of the case.

This is an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district, confirming the sale for revenue arrears of certain vrittis belonging to the appellant in the Arudi Jodi village of the Dodballapur taluk.

2. The village having fallen into arrears of jodi due for the year 1898-99 (and previous years also), a demand notice was issued to all the jodidars collectively, demanding from them the arrears of jodi together with the amount of contribution payable by them towards the cost of repairs of the village tank executed by Government. The notice was served on all the jodidars on 26th March 1899. The default having continued, the village was advertised for sale separately by vrittis on 16th June 1899, in the Dodballapur Taluk Cutcherry. The sales were conducted by Mr. P. Sitharamiah, Divisional Officer. The sale of 15¼ vrittis belonging to the appellant began on 16th June 1899, and was adjourned to 17th, 20th and 21st June for want of bids. On the last date they were knocked down to the respondent Subbanna for Rs. 4,000.

3. On 5th July 1899, the Amildar submitted the sale records to the Divisional Officer adding that the purchaser had paid up the full amount of purchase money within the due date, *i. e.*, on the 5th July following, that the defaulter had also paid up the arrears on 23rd June 1899, and that the same was held in deposit.

4. On 31st July 1899, the Divisional Officer recommended to the Deputy Commissioner that, as the price realized at the sale was very low and the appellant had paid up the arrears, the sale might be cancelled and the purchase money refunded to the purchaser. But the Deputy Commissioner confirmed the sale on 13th September 1899, on the ground that there was no irregularity in publishing or conducting the sale. The appellant has appealed to Government against this order and urges that the demand was in excess of the sum due by him; that the arrears accrued at the time when the village was under Government management; that the district and taluk authorities did not give him proper assistance in recovering the same, that the amount of contribution had not yet fallen due; that the price realized at the sale was very low, and that the sale had been adjourned for more than three days, in contravention of para 3 of Section XLI of the Land Revenue Rules. He prays that, as he has paid up the whole of the arrears, the sale might be cancelled.

Decision.

5. In this case Government are not satisfied that an adequate price was realized for the vrittis sold. The remark made by the Divisional Officer Mr. P. Sitharamiah, who conducted the sale, at the foot of the haraz patti, that the sale should be stopped for want of proper bids and that fresh sale notification should be issued, would show that the sale was not finally concluded. Subsequently when the records were submitted to him by the Amildar for confirmation, the Divisional Officer made a distinct recommendation to the Deputy Commissioner, that as the amount of the bid was low and as the appellant had paid up the arrears, the sale might be cancelled. That officer, however, took a different view and confirmed the sale. From a perusal of the records Government are of opinion, as already remarked, that an inadequate amount has been realized for the vrittis. No declaration of forfeiture has been recorded as required in Revenue Rule XXXVI, para 1. It is also a question if the direction contained in para 3 of Revenue Rule XLI, regarding no sale being adjourned for more than three days, has been strictly complied with. Under these circumstances, the sale of the appellant's vrittis is cancelled, and the amount deposited by him will be credited towards his arrears, and the purchase money paid by the respondent refunded to him.

No. 7258-65—P. F. 93-92, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH MARCH 1901.

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district, with the records received with his letter No. 490, dated 22nd September 1900.

Also to other Deputy Commissioners.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Exd. [illegible]

(53)

1900-1901

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 15th March 1901

No. 7258-61
P. F. 93-92

Directing that the sale of certain vrittis belonging to Banavati Nanjundaiya, in Jodi Arudi village, Dodballapur taluk, for a very low price on account of arrears of revenue, be cancelled, the amount deposited by him being credited towards his arrears; and that the purchase money paid by Subbanna (respondent) be refunded to him.

SBP—1-4-1901—200

(52)

236

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13 - 3 - 1901 [illegible]

[illegible]

By Order

[illegible]

For Secretary

[illegible] - 735

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13 - 3 - 1901 [illegible]

[illegible]

By Order

[illegible]

For Secretary

Dft

[illegible] - 13/2

1888-89.
(L.R:).

File No. 59
Serial No. 1-48.

Sub: Petion from Todidars of Arodi Villages Doddaballapur Taluk regarding Contribution due from them for the repair of the village Tank.

210 K 69-E

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR 47-83

Later references.

LR 80 03

1888-89.

192.

(LR.)

File No. 59.

Serial Nos. 1-48

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-48

Part B for March 1901

SUBJECT.

Petition from Jagirdars of Arodi Village Doddaballapur Taluk re Contributions due from them for the repair of the Village Tank

To The D.C. Bangalore Dist.

7258-64 & 15-3-01.

PF 93-92

forwards the decision of Govt. in the Case

WD 2238—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Order

Petitioner
Rama bhatta
and others Vitty dars
Banavathy Dod Bellapur
Taluk

Bante 60 ans
70 × 4 280
And 160 × 4 640
230 920

4 ds ans
without wtt.

2

Memo

Letter No 137 dated 19th May 1890 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore forwarding for orders the papers relating to the repairs of the Jodi Arodi and Banavadi village Tanks in the Doddballapur Taluk.

The following is a precis of the papers on the subject.

Bangalore Dy Comrs No 205/27-5-84 | As the above mentioned Tanks were in a neglected state, the Govt tanks below them were in danger. Notices were issued to the Jodidars more than once to repair the Tanks. They having failed to do so, the P. W. D. framed estimates for repairing the tanks, and the Dy Comr recommended that the repairs may be carried out by the P. W. D. and that the cost be recovered

from the Jodidars.

2 The above mentioned proposal of the Dy Comr was sanctioned and the cost of the repairs ordered to be recovered from the Jodidars, if necessary, in two yearly instalments. Govt Progs No $\frac{3635}{266}$ dt 15-9-84.

3 The Tanks were repaired by the P.W.D. at a cost of Rs 6576 and Rs 4971 respectively.

4 Notices were issued to the Jodidars for the payment of contribution. But the Vrittidars in their Petns dt 21-8-88, 27-11-88 and deposition* given before * dt 28-8-88 the Amildar stated that it would be unjust to ~~distribute~~ levy the cost of repairs equally from all the Vrittidars, as they do not hold an equal extent of wet and garden land, and that therefore

the contribution should be collected from such of the Vrittidars as are benefited by the water of the tanks, that is from such Vrittidars as hold wet lands under the tanks as well as those whose dry lands can be converted into wet owing to the recent repairs of the tanks, according to the extent of such land held by each Vrittidar. Persisting in these objections the Vrittidars delayed payment of the contribution in spite of the repeated demands made for the same.

5 Therefore the Villages of Arodi and Banavati were ordered by the Dy Comr. to be attached and placed

Dy Comrs order No 8548 98-11-88
" " No 1025 98-1-89

under Govt management.

6 The Jodidars of Atrodi in their Petn (in English) to the Dewan stated that they were unable to bear the cost of the repair in full, and requested that the Govt may be pleased to bear a portion of it. No 2607 d 8-2-89

7 The above Petn was referred to the Dy Commr for early report as to the circumstances of the Village and of the Petitioners, and the most effective and equitable way of recovering the debt due to Govt and the arrangements, if any entered into or promised by the Jodidars. This office docket No 602 d 25-3-89

8 The Dy Commr in reply reports as follows:— No 137 d 19th May 1890

"For the recovery of the

amount (cost of repairing the tanks, Rs 6576 & Rs 4971 respectively) the villages have been held in attachment. The Jodidars consider the measure a great hardship to them and pray that the Govt may be pleased to contribute a portion of the cost. This request is inadmissible as the duty of keeping Jodi tanks in good repair has been more than once declared and acted upon by Govt. At the same time it is not untrue that the Vritti of both the villages are held by a lot of poor Brahmins, who if deprived of the little and scanty means of income they derive from this source

will be reduced to
utter destitution. Under
the circumstances, it
seemed to me that this
was a good case to be
brought to the notice
of Govt for favorable
and indulgent treat-
ment. The procedure
adopted in the case of
Jodi Timmlapur village
of the Huliyar Sub Taluk
in the Tumkur District
may be applied to these
Tanks also. The cost of
repairs plus a reason-
able interest may be
allowed to spread over
a few years, and the
money recovered in the
way of the imposition

of a water rate of four Rupees per acre. It is believed that the water of the tanks in question commands an irrigable area of 228 acres and if the mode above suggested be sanctioned, the annual recovery will amount to Rs 912/- and the debt of Govt will be recovered in a period of about 15 years."

---

mb88

9

(5)

Memo for orders.

Submitted to the Council.
6th Septr/90. AGR

Hearing
27 March 1890
Leave taken to Petitioners only
Ted
10/10/90

Adjourned to
22d January
1891

as
21/11/90

Adjourned to 19th February
1891.

10-1-91

Petitioners to file Further Evidence in Support of their Petition within one month from this date
AGR
Petition to be set down for hearing on the first Council day after the expiration of that period
AGR

(9) Bo.

(9)

Pr No 2607
Dated 8th January 1908

To
Ranganadha
Mudr Dobhas of
Arcot & Ranipet
Dobhabhapeer
Salem
Bangalore

The Tanks of the Petroi
Lucas Dobhi Village were
repaired by Govt at
a cost of Rs ~~6676-0-0~~
11547, & for the recovery
of the amount the Dobhi
villages have been
placed under attachment
Pr. state that they
are unable to pay the
whole amount at once
& request that they

Mr
May be allowed
to pay it by
instalments at Rs 4
an acre on the lands
irrigated under the Scheme.
The Dy Comr ~~considers~~
recommends
indulgent consideration
as the Villages are
held by a number
of individuals who
have from heavy
monies.

Under the
circumstances stated
the Govt are pleased
to direct that the
Villages be released
from attachment
made over to Govt
if they agree to pay the amount due by instalments of
Rs 1000 a year with
the
interest on
with interest at 4 per
Cent per annum.
The lands irrigated
under the Scheme are
stated to be 228 acres

Rents on acct
3 9 2
Mr
1
4 Pt
of Govt

& the owners who are
now called upon to
pay in ~~annually~~ annual 4 Rs
an acre — The
Govt cannot undertake to
to Collect the water
rate from each
individual of the
Inam Village be
released from attachment
& made over to
Mrs. — It is an
arrangement which
Mrs should make
among themselves —

21/2/91

21/2

Proceedings of the
Court of Mysore.

Read ... 1895

(1) Despatch No. 26 of 1894-95
No 260 G 1894-95
(2) Letter No 259 dated
23rd August 1894
from the Dy Commr,
Bangalore.

The Lord Sahib observed that if the villages be surveyed in detail Revenue Survey [redacted] is now decided on the steps involving to give their consent for detail survey. They however say that if Revenue Pattas cannot be granted to them on full assessment at survey rates, instead of to

the Rights, they would
be glad to have their
villages surveys Assessed in
detail.

Before coming
to a decision in the
matter, the Chief of
Revenue Survey will be
requested to report the
amount of cess always determined
after survey for the
purpose of imposing
Land rent on the
Villages under the
& the area of
Garden, occupied or
unoccupied respectively.

11/10/94

3/12/94

Submitted to the Dewan
as directed by the Judicial
Commissioner
C.P. 1/26/10

Vacci (continued)

Pet[r] no 2 5/7/92 from Kanjudy & other vrittidars of Banavatte, complaining that ~~non~~ the Govt order of 21/2/91 was not given effect to, was forwarded to the Dy Comr for report.

In his letter no 259 of 22/8/94, the Dy Comr reported 1° that, though 3 years had elapsed since the order of Govt directing the restoration of the villages to the vrittidars, the order could not be carried out as the vrittidars failed to execute a muchalika binding themselves to pay Rs 1000 annually till their debt was discharged.

2°. that as the villages were not surveyed in detail, a large portion of the lands held by the balance was disputed by the ryots & was irrecoverable

3° That as the annual net revenues of the villages both of Banavathi amounted only to Rs 272-9-7 & Rs 26-6-6 respectively, it was hopeless to expect to realize the arrears due to Govt (more than Rs 4,000) within a reasonable period.

4° That he (Dy Comr) was strongly opposed to the continuance of Govt management and that the best way to realize the arrears would be to sell the villages.

Thereupon Mr Venkatasubba Rao directed the Vakkaldars to attend the Darbar's office and there was an idea of introducing survey settlement into the villages. But as the Dy Comr reported that most of the Vakkaldars were opposed to the introduction of survey settlement, Govt finally decided (Genal No 23) that the villages might be made over.

& the 2nd to days during
the attachment on the
understanding that
Banavathi Shroff pay the
Rs 500 and Rs 1500 respectively
& agree to pay hereafter
Rs 500 a year from next
year commencing from
1st July 1895 & default
be made, the village making
the default should be
sold for the entire balance
if otherwise if possible

=

Subsequent petitions were
forwarded & the Asst
for disposal with reference
& the above order

=

Pet-3 No 1999 & 18/12/96
from Basavanthappa
was forwarded & the Asst
Comr for report on 9/1/97

and no reply has yet been
received. (This petition
has despised of withdrawal -
previous refs being public)
two reminders have been issued

27/11/19

Memo

Ref - Telegram from Krishna Rao & Narsimhappa Vrittidars of Arvakurthi Mayuram Dt Hanamsagar.

Report was called for from the Dy Comr on 9th January '97 on ~~pet~~ the 2nd petr's petn No 1999 claiming that certain arrears due from the ryots should be collected by Govt on petr's behalf. Two reminders have been since issued but the report has not yet been received

2.

Petrs' case has a long history. The facts are briefly that, on the failure of the Jordars & ~~as~~ restoration their ~~tanks~~ (which formed ~~above~~ part of a series of Govt tanks) the Govt had the tanks restored by the DPW at a cost of Rs 11,54].

Thes cases in 1884 As the Vellidars
failed to pay up the amount
the villages were attached in
1889 & 1890 & entrusted under
Govt management for about
5 years
In 1896 the Govt directed the
restoration of the villages to the
Vellalars provided they agreed
to pay 1000 Rs Govt per annum
till the arrears were discharged (May in about 15 years)
owing to want of unanimity
the Vellalars (Arcot - 59 votes
Ramnad - 24 -).
they failed to execute the necessary
Muchalika & the Govt order
was not carried out
In January 1895, the Govt directed
the restoration that while the
villages may continue under attachment,
the management should vest
in the Vellalars provided they
paid Rs 2000 at once & agreed
to pay Rs ~~700~~ 1000 per annum
hereafter to liquidate the arrears.
= The Vellalars do not seem
to have acted up to the above
agreement & under the terms
of the Govt order above quoted, the
DS Conr seems to have restored the sale of some of the villages

The petrs' objections are
(1) That the rents due to the Jotdars were not collected in full by Govt when the villages were under Govt management
(2) That the toddy-revenue of the villages has not been paid to them
& they urge that all the objections are disposed & the sale should be stayed.
The 2nd objection may be disposed of at once. It was never raised during the course of the long correspondence
There is some force in the 1st objection: Serial nos 13 & 17 in the Open file show that the DC & Comr felt some difficulty in realizing the rents (according to the imperfect accounts of the Jotdars) in the absence of a fair survey settlement.
But the Asst Comr's memo (enclosure to Serial no 17).

22

(36)

Shows that the arrears due from the ryots were in some cases of 10 years' standing & a suit by the Mutthedars would be held to have been barred – of course the Mutthedars could not sue when the village was under attachment for 5 years

=

On the whole I would not hazard any opinion in such a complicated case but there seems no doubt that the failure of the Coll Court to introduce the ~~[illegible]~~ within Sundry settlement within the 5 years of Govt management & the bad management in consequence (admitted by the Coll Court) as well as the poverty of the Mutthedars (admitted by the Coll Court & the Govt on many occasions) entitle them to indulgent treatment.

The latest order of the Coll Court dismisses the petrs' objections summarily without going into details (Serial No 31, open file)

Sub to Secy (with the whole file & [illegible] detailed pieces)

M 27/11/97

In their action on the [illegible] to accept their communications [illegible] reconsideration is [illegible] – Secy to appeal further to produce till the report was due so long ago as June 1897 is admitted & say that this long delay is absolutely inexcusable

M[illegible] 30/11/97

Dy Secy
Please [illegible] at a [illegible]
2-12-97

Ind Bzd [illegible]

According to the [illegible] tehsil as to take place [illegible] – May an order [illegible] by wire directing stay of sale pending disposal of appeal.
29-11-97

Precis of the correspondence in re the recovery of the contributions Amount of repairs of tanks in and the arrears including Jodi from the Jodidars of Arodi and Kanavati villages Dodballapur Taluk

---

Vide No 1 in the open file

Re 27.5.84 the Dy Comr of Bangalore wrote a letter to Govt submitting the Amildars report on the subject and stating that the Jodidars neglected the repairs of the tanks in question as they just above the Govt tanks which necessitated the repairs of the former tanks - that although they were warned several times to repair the same, they failed to do so; and therefore it would be better to get the tanks repaired by P.W. Dept and recover the costs incurred thereby from the Jodidars.

This was approved by Govt in Roc No 3635-6/266 of 15-9-84 and accordingly the Jodidars were directed to pay the estimated amount in two yearly instalments.

The management regarding demands is whether Govt thro its District authorities should help the Jodidars in recovering the arrears which accrued during the period of Govt management - I see no difficulty in deciding this question affirmatively. No Dy Comr should endeavour wholly these Jodidars who have at present the management of the villages to collect their arrears & in cases where compulsory measures is required what the expenses in balance of revenue & realize from the Jodidars under the Land Revenue Code.

Roc 2913/98 dt 29-3-98

Roc 1st 26/3/98

23.3.98

On 8-2-89 Nanjundappa and other Jodidars petitioned the Govt stating that their villages had been placed under forest attachment for the recovery of the Contribution amount - that they were not solely liable to pay the same - that the Govt should bear a proportionate amount, and the balance be collected from the several landholders who were benefited by the water - that they should be allowed to pay the sum at 4Rs per acre as others similarly placed in Dumkur District were allowed. granted. and the villages be released. This petn was forwarded to the Dy Comr for report as to the circumstances of the village and of the petitioners and the effective way of recovering the amount

No 3 in the open file

No 602 dated 15-3-89

due to Govt and the
arrangements if any
entered into or promised
by the Jodidars

19th May 1890 - No 5 in M. file

The Dy Comr reported
that the tanks in question
were repaired by Govt
in the D.P.W at a cost of
6876 and 4971 Rs respectively
by that similar con-
cession showed to the Jodi-
dars of Jodi Timlapura
village in the Hulyar Sub-
Taluk Tumkur Dist be
shown to them and they be
allowed to pay the amount
in 15 years at 4 Rs per
acre which would amount
to Rs 912 per annum.
Notices were then issued
to the several Jodidars to
appear before the Councillors
for hearing and they were
heard on 8-2-89 and the
order passed to the effect
that as the Dy Comr recom-
mended

13483 dated 25-2-91 - No 10 (1)
538 P. 1064

merited indulgent consideration, the land-holders being poor brahmins, the villages might be released from attachment and made over to the Jodidars if they agreed to pay the amount due to Govt by instalments of 1000 Rs together with interest at 4% per annum. and that the Govt could not undertake to collect the water rate from the ryots

On 23-6-92 Nanjunda and others of Banavati petitioned the Govt stating that in spite of the Govt order directing the release of the lands from attachment and the recovery of the cost of repairs from the petitioners by instalments, they were not released though three years had elapsed and so praying for the release of the same

No 11 in the pamphlet

23d Aug 94 - No 3 (f)

This was sent to the Dy Comr for report with reference to the above order of Govt. The Dy Comr reported that some of the Vahivdars did not appear to execute the Mutchalikas binding themselves to pay the amount due to Govt and so the order of Govt could not be given effect to and the villages released - that the villages in question - namely Arodi and Banavati were brought under Govt management on 3d Decr 1888 and 10th Augt 1889 respectively - that they were not surveyed in detail that the management had not proved advantageous either to Govt or to the Jodi-dars and so recommending their sale by public auction ~~at~~ Six months after the service of a notice to the

Mr Raghunath Rao who instituted the enquiry was of opinion that the arrears be distributed among the Vatkidars rateably - the amount due being 9186-1-6 and 4142-1-9 as would appear from his memorandum -

No 18 in the file

On the same date H. Krishna Rao Jodidar of Arodi petitioned the Govt stating that as the Taluk authorities wished to hold one Vatkidar responsible for the Jodi amount instead of holding each responsible for his proportionate amount none were willing to execute the Mutchalika and so praying that orders might be issued to take mutchalikas from them for his proportionate amount release the villages attached and recover the sum by instalments.

About the same time Vasudeva Jodidar of Banavati petitioned the

Dewan stating that he paid 1000 Rs and was ready to pay 500 Rs - another instalment and agreed to execute a mutchalika binding himself solely liable for the whole amount - that still he was not given possession of the village - the authorities thinking that the two villages were jointly liable - that the two villages had no connection with each other, there being independent title-deeds for the two. Prayed that the amount recoverable from the ryots be collected and credited to accounts and the village released from attachment.

as per Govt order No: 13483 of 538 / 21st Feb 1880.

On this, the Dewan Bahadur Ramaraji Pantulu reported as follows:- "Petitioner says that he paid 1000 Rs and will now pay 500 Rs. It is a mistake to keep the village under Govt management. Let it continue under attachment on account of balance due to Govt (which as regards this village should be separately recorded) and hand over

No 19 in the Appendix

the village to the owners who should pay the balance by annual instalment of 500 Rs under the terms of the order of 21-2-91. Provided that if default is made in any instalment the village will be sold to realise the entire balance if possible always with interest as is usually done for arrears.

must be 25-2-91.
altered.

20 - on the 2nd page.

Both Nanjundappa and Krishna Rao Jadidars petitioned the Dewan again when the Dewan ordered that the village Arodi be dealt with separately as with Banavati. Arodi should pay 6 Rs per annum under the order of 21-2-91. These two orders were embodied in Govt Proc No 1185 Z / Pet 793-92 dated 21-1-95, and the same communicated to the parties by end: stating that the village should continue under attachment but the Dy Comr had been directed to hand over to them the management of the village during the attach-

2? - - -

ment and that they should pay the sum before 1st July 1895 (Bannvati 600 Rs & Arodi 1500 Rs at once, and the balance at 500 Rs per a year for each village) and if default be made in any instalment the villages would be sold for the realization of the entire balance.

On 18-12-96 Ranjindappa appealed to Govt against the order of the Dy Comr No 321 dated 2-11-96 conveyed to him by the Amildar in his endt No 57 dated 11-11-96, which was to the effect that on the petitioner's request to the Dist authorities that the amount of arrears due by the ryots for the years during which the two villages were under Govt management be received and paid to him, the Dist authorities issued the above order stating that when the arrears with the arrears standing the villages were taken under Govt manage-

in a separate bundle

vide the original in the records.

ment - that the Tahsildar released the village under similar circumstances with all loss and profit therein and so no more relief could be granted than what was allowed by the Land Revenue Govt Rules - He prayed that the Amount payable by the ryots be collected and the balance if any be recovered from them Thereupon a report with records including a statement showing the amount of arrears due by the ryots and for what years they were due was called for.

On 18-7-97 the above named petitioner again petitioned the Govt stating that the Govt had subsequently decided that the annual amount of works be recovered from each individual holder in proportion to his holding - that he had been paying his share regularly - that others had allowed their share

No 29 - in the open file

The petn is not forthcoming in the records but only the abstract of it vide No 29 in the open file -

to fall into heavy arrears and that he was held responsible for these arrears - Ranjed that orders be passed directing the renewal of the old order (passed on the petition of 1-12-94) sanctioning the collection of the amount from each individual -

This was sent to the Dy Comr for disposal with reference to Govt order No 4872 / Rev 93-92 dated 22-1-95.

This seems to be in conflict with his previous petn dated 23-11-96 vide No 19 of the open file -

The above petitioner and others again petitioned the Govt for orders directing the stay of sale of their village fixed to 29-11-97 - that they paid the Govt amount regularly but failed to pay the amount of today sale in Durgamukhi at 8 Rs per vutti owing to scarcity last year, but that amount would be covered by the amount due to them from the ryots which the Govt should have collected -

31 in the open file

On 27-11-97 Krishna Rao and others wired to the Secretary reminding him of the date of sale and praying for orders to stay the sale pending disposal of their appeal. Thereupon the Dy Comr was asked to stay further proceedings

separate Memo -

vide Docket No 4807 dated 2-12-97 / R 663

of the sale pending submission of the report called for in this office memo. No. Pt/105 dated 9-1-97 and reminders subsequent thereto.

The above report was received from the Dy/Comr on 13-12-97 who says that the Jodidars of Arodi owed 2500 Rs towards their instalments upto 1896-97 of which they paid only 1722-4-3 that as they failed to pay the amount of each instalment regularly, they lost all claims to the concessions granted to them by Govt in their Roc of 21-1-95, that both the villages Arodi and Banavati still owe towards the cost of repairs and also Jodi Rs 8301-8-10 and 3505-10-6 respectively, but the amount payable to them from the ryots for 7 years during which the villages were under Govt management is Rs 2502-1-5 & 1053-13-2 respectively which they pray to be deducted from their dues and the balance recovered from them.

14/1/98

The difficulty in collecting the
Landowners due by the ryots under
the Jodrans' accounts was first
& the notice of Govt by the Govt
in his letter S 27/8/94 (Serial No
12 in the Open file)

In my settlement was inspected
by Govt but immediately after
& the subsequent correspondence
no reference is made as to the
means by which the arrears
are to be collected: In his
letter S 13/11/94, the Govt
pointed that the Jodrans could not
undertake a suit against the Ryots
the Village Panchayat under Govt attachment & Govt management
(enclosure to Serial No 17).
Govt No No 11872 S 7/12/95
Rev E 93-92
letter ~~to~~ (Serial No 23) the attachment
still continues but the manage-
ment has been made over to the
Jodrans under certain conditions
regarding regular payment of
arrears due to Govt on instalment.
The conditions have been broken
~~by~~
The Govt (Govt) as shown by the ~~letter~~ letter to
Govt S 14/11/96 enclosure to Serial No 32)
are of opinion that when the
management was given over
to the Jodrans, the responsibility
the recovery of the arrears that
had accrued later ceased

Govt 24/1/98

greatly regret the delay in the
disposal of this paper which was
due to my having reserved the
papers for myself & to not having
found time to attend to it owing
to one cause or other

My

8

Office Note

Appeal No 20 of 1899-1900.

Appellant:- Banavati Ranjundaya

For the arrears of Jodi and contribution towards the cost of repair of the village tank a notice of demand was issued collectively on the Jodidars of Avodi village on 26th March 1898 and served on them. The default having continued the village was advertised for sale on 6.6.1899 in the Doddballapur Taluk Cutcherry. The sale "istihar" is dated [illegible] was published by beat of drums in the village on 5.5.1898. The village was to be sold by shares separately.

Vide paper no (in the vernacular records)

*Paper no 2 & 15.4.98

II. On 6.6.98 the sale of the shares began in presence of the Divisional Officer Mr. P. Sathiranga Rao. The arrears said to be of the 7 years Sarvamanya Samvathsari, Vrishabha, Khara, Vijaya, Jaya and Vikrama together with

Paper no 3

1887-88 to 1893-94;

*1893-94, 94-95 and 98-99.

with the contribution payable by
the Jodidars towards the cost of repair of
the tank. Vrittees sold

16. 6. 1898.

1. Narasappana Ranjundayya's
One Vritti. Last Bid Rs 35-0-0. Bidder Hanigur Gopalayya

2. Sankarappanavara Ranjundappa's
1 Vritti Rs 10-0-0 Bangalore Subbanna

3. Ranjundappa's (1 Appellant's)
$15\frac{21}{64}$ Vrittees. no bids.

It is too late today. Adjourned to Tomorrow

17. 6. 98.

In yesterday's sale there were no
proper bids. The sales of the above
vrittees were not conducted today
owing to the sales of lands connected with the
Hanigur Bank (Agricultural ?) having been held today.
Tomorrow
being Sunday and the day after a holiday
the sale is adjourned to the 20th instant.
The Jodidars and others who were present
have been informed of it.

20. 6. 98.

1. Narasayya Ranjundayya's 1 Vritti Rs A-P 125-0-0 Bidder Bangalore Subbanna

There having been no proper bids
today and it being too late the sale is
adjourned to to-morrow.

21-6-98.

1 Narasayya Ranjundayya 1 Vritti 153-0-0 Gopalayya Ramanna Rau
2. Ranjundappa $15\frac{21}{64}$ 4000 Bangalore Subbanna

3. Krishna Rao and Atchaiah
15½ Vrittees 2450-0-0 Patel Ramappa

As there are no proper bids and as it is too late today the sale is adjourned to to-morrow morning.

22-6-98.

No bidders have come today. The sale is adjourned to to-morrow

23-6-98.

1 Krishna Rao and Atchaiah Rao.
15½ Vrittees Rs 2450-00 Patel Ramappa

2. Kumarappa's 3 Vrittees
Rs 28-0-0 Aroodi Venkataiya.

As there are no proper bids at the sale the sale is stopped. Fresh stitchers should be issued"

VII On 6-7-98 the Amildar submitted the papers to Division Officer with the following report:-

Paper no. 7 & 5-7-98. "The Karaiya patte and other records are herewith enclosed. A statement showing the arrears due on the whole village is also enclosed. As Kumaya Manteraiyana Ranjundappa, Banavasi Ranjundaiya and Atchaiah Rao did not pay their arrears, their Vrittees were sold by me in person. The sales

Of

of Kumaraiyya and Atchoota Rao's
Vrittees were not closed as there were
no proper bids. The Vrittees of the
other two viz Banavati (1) Ranjendaya
and Manteraiyana (2) Ranjendaya,
stand in the names of the marginally
noted persons. As per your orders
at the time this amount has been
fully deposited by the purchasers
Subbanna in addition to the
arrears also being paid up.
The other purchaser Gopalaya has not
paid the amount. The arrears of
Banavati Ranjendaya and
Manteraiyana Ranjendaya have
been received after the sale and
held in deposit."

(1) Paper torn here.
(2) Bangalore Subbanna Rs 4000/-

is not a reasonable price; but it

IV. Thereupon the Divl Officer
having asked the Amildar to state
whether all the 17 Vrittedars had paid
up their arrears, the latter replied
that most of them had done so
and that some of them owed small
amounts and that the Sheristadar
had been directed to collect the
same promptly.

V. On 31-7-1899 the Dy Officer
Mr. P. Seetharamaiya in submitting
the records to the Dy Commr
stated

Collection in Vizayna: Collection			Remission			Total			[illegible]	...in ...a: Total			Balances			Demand for Vizayna			Total		
249	5	5	"	"	"	249	5	5	3	320	14	2	260	4	4	329	"	"	589	4	4
50	"	"	"	"	"	50	"	"	20	74	5	2	190	12	2	62	8	"	253	4	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	4	"						.0048	8	"	"	"	"	4004	8	"
303	5	5	"	"	"	303							4455	8	6	391	8	"	4847	"	6

M
Mrs.
Mu

that in satisfaction of the arrears due by Vrittidars Atchoota Rao, Ranjundappa Manteraiyana Ranjundappa and Konarappa, the sale of their Vrittis was begun on 16.6.93, that there having been no proper bids the sale was adjourned to another day and conducted by him in person; that there having been a bid for Rs 2700/- for Ranjundappa's Vrittis the amount of bid was paid by the bidder and held in deposit; that as the bid was not a reasonable price for the property the same was not knocked by him in the Varaga patte; that the defaulter having paid the jodi of the Vritti and other arrears (illegible) on the next day after the sale the same had been held in deposit; that the village was a very valuable one fetching a high price; that the Vrittis of Ranjundappa and Manteraiyana Ranjundappa which had been so fetched very low prices and that on these grounds the sale might be cancelled and the amount of purchase money refunded to the purchaser.

Thereupon the Dy Commr asked the Divl officer to explain how in opposition to para 3 of L.R. Rule no XLI the sale had been adjourned for 6 days though the same should not have been done for more than 3 days including the day fixed for sale, observing at the same time that it was to be regretted that there were such irregularities in the sale of a valuable property

Paper no 88 / 15. 8. 99

VI In reply the Divl officer explained that 2 holidays having intervened the sale was regular according to para 4 of the aforesaid Rule XLI and that he had recommended for the cancellation of the sale.

P.no 98 / 17. 8. 99.

VII On 13. 9. 99 the Dy Commr Dur. K. P. Rattanna rejected confirmed the sale on the ground that there were of the appt's Vakils no irregularities in publishing or conducting the same. On the same day he issued an order to the Amildar of Doddaballapur also to put the property in the possession of the purchaser This was accordingly done and a muchalika taken from the purchaser on 29. 9. 99

VIII On 2-10-95 the appellant appealed to Govt for cancellation of the sale on the following grounds:-

1) That the amount noted in the istihar were not legally due, the amount specified against the applt being in excess of the sum due by him.

2) That the sale proceedings were not conducted according to L. R. Rules. and that the sale was not published in the Gazette

3) That the sale was not finally concluded, the Divl Officer who conducted the same having made a remark at the foot of the Storage bills that he had to stop the sale for want of bids and that fresh istihars should be issued. The conclusion of the sale is not noted in the Storage bills. The land was knocked down to the respt.

4) That the Divl Officer who conducted the sale was of opinion that the sale should be cancelled as the price realised therein was low.

5) With regard to the arrears the applt submits that they accrued at the time when the village was under the management of Govt and that the District authorities had not helped him in its collection. in the face of the order of Govt and that there was time for him to pay the contribution till 31st July 1900. In submitting the report called for

vide G.O. No 1187 Z dt 26-1-95. P.793-92

Serial no 23 in the open file

41

for in the above petition the
by Govt. x adds in addition to the facts of the case that there was no
declaration of forfeiture in this case
as the village was under attachment
for the arrears of contribution due
by the jodidars and that the appts
plea that the Dist and Taluk authorities
had not helped him in collecting the
old arrears was untenable as the Appt
had failed to furnish a proper
statement of the balance of arrear
due to him by the ryots. With regard
to the latter point the appellant
submits the petition containing
the endorsement for the production
of a statement of arrear. In that
petition he states that the accounts
are not with him, that they must
be with the Shanbhog; that the ryots
refused to give him proper accounts,
and that it was therefore not
possible for him to find out the arrears
due and that the Govt must be
responsible for the accounts of
arrears which accrued at the time when the village
was in the hands of Govt.

(6) That he paid the whole of his arrears
before the sale was concluded on 23-6-98.

x Serial no 49 in the open file

vide petition No 8838 30/10/00.

IX The irregularities to be noticed in the sale proceedings are:-

Rev Rule No XXXVI P1 1) Absence of declaration of forfeiture of the alienated holding. (The order required by the 1st Commissioner put up)

2) The sale is that not mentioning the estimated value of the property (Vide S.O. no. 4508 dy 4-1-98, put up)

3) The adjournment of the sale for more than 3 days, the sale having been adjourned from the 16 to 17th, 20th + 21st, 18th + 19th being excluded as holidays

Rule ~~X~~ XI, Paren 3.

4) The Asst Commr's remark on the Harage patti written on 21-6-99 adjourning the sale to the next day apparently applies to the appts' valities also as explained in the Asst Commr's yadee dy 31-7-99 to the Dy Commr; and there is no remark in the Harage patti to show that the sale was finally concluded

X The appellant is himself to blame for having allowed the arrear to accumulate and evading payment of the amount on the plea that the contribution amount had not yet fallen due there being yet time

4p.

time for him to pay it before

1st July as per G. O. No. 21872 dt 21-1-95.
P.T. 93-92

The appellants' petition dated 30/10/00
and the Respts' petition dt 31/10/00
are also in the file. The Govt. orders
referred to by the Pr. Counsellor at the time of
hearing are in the file.

1/11/00 From the two receipts produced
by the respondent, it is found -
that he paid Rs 1000 being the
deposit amount in the
treasury on the 21st June
on which date the sale was
held. The remaining amount
of Rs 3000 was paid on the
5th July following.

1/11/00.

R2-6.45. pm
1.11.00.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 20 of

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Banavati Ramjundappa of Arsoc		Chabada Subbanna

25—10—1900

Parties present

Appt — I was ill when the demand was made on me

I offered to pay the arrears on the 23rd of but the sale took place on 21st —

order about cancelling a sale for the irregularity of a thr shr signing proclamation declaring a holding forfeited — to be

Due upto fly 29/10/00

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 20 of 1899-1900

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Sanavati Nanjundaya of Jodi Arsodi village, Doddballapur Taluk.		Chabrada Subbanna of Bangalore.

Subject

Appeal against the order of the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt, re the sale for arrears of Jodi of certain Vrittees of the above village belonging to the appt.

Posted for hearing on 25th October 1900

Case heard by the 1st and 2nd Councillors on 29.10.00. Order reserved.

Submitted to 1st Councillor with an office note.

1/11/00.

Sale cancelled.

See order separately recorded

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—7-7-99,—302.



No. 260

13

To

K. Sheshadri Iyer Esquire B.A.B.L. O.S.I.

Dewan to His Highness the

Maha Raja of Mysore

Most Respected Sir,

In enclosing original endorsement
No 151 of the 1st Instant received from the Amildar
of the Dod-ballapur Taluk, we the undersigned, the
godidars of the godi Arodi Village, Hesarahally Hobly
Ballapur Taluk, most respectfully beg to state that
godi Village was originally purchased by the donor for
Pagodas 378 5/8 from the then Government as Surwamanya
During the administration of Dewan Purnea, a godi
of Rs 1185-2-7 was unlawfully charged thereon
which has subsequently been raised to Rs: 1361+Rs:
quit rent during the Inam Settlement, with
difficulty we have been managing to pay this sum
and earning our livelihood, as
the Village will not yield so much. The Village
has since also been twice attacked for arre

of revenue and certain [illegible] even at present

2. In above june last a sum of Rs 6786 was demanded of us by the Amildar on account of contribution towards the repairs of the tank of this Village; and as we found it difficult to pay this heavy sum also one of the petitioners Nunjundia but in two petitions bringing to notice that the demand was unreasonable but no replies were given nor any enquires instituted.

3. Subsequently the entire Village has been brought under attachment for the said amount altho' no Muchilka was obtained from us by Government to pay the same.

4. We beg humbly to represent that it is not fair to burden us poor as we are, with this amount in full. In case the repairs of this godi tank, which is at a higher level, was undertaken to ensure the safety of the other Government tanks ending lower on, we beg to submit that Govt should bear also a proportionate share of the [illegible] on the godi paid to Government; and [illegible] we humbly beg your honor to kindly issue orders to

to this effect. The balance then will have to be paid by the holders of wet and garden land consisting of 120 acres of Inam, Jeruiti Inam and Vrittis irrigated by the water of this tank. It becomes equally impossible to pay this large amount all at once and in a lump sum and we humbly beg your honor to issue orders to have this amount apportioned between the several land holders and to recover the same at Rs 4. per acre, at the usual water rate, or Rs 480 per year whereby the land holders will be will be enabled to pay the same easily and Government will also recover the amount.

5. We further beg to represent that of the village is taken under Government manage the arrangements and conditions under which the lands have now been leased will be dis turbed and every one will be put to additiona troubles and losses, we also hear that the co- cession now prayed for by us has been gran in the case of Inamdars of certain Inam villages of the Tumkur District

6. In conclusion we most res

5v

and humbly beg your honor to grant us the said concessions and order the village to be released from attachment and thus protect humble petitioners.

For which act of kindness and charity the petitioners will ever pray for yours honors long life and prosperity.

8/2/89

pet

53

(4)

20/3/89.

To.

The Dy. Commr.

Bangalore

Dated 15/20/3/89.

No. 602

No. 137 Dy 1/1 - 5-90

Petition No 2607 dy 8th February /89 from Ranjunda Appa and Ramappa, Jodidars of Arodi village in the Doddballapur Taluk regarding contributions due from them for the repair of the village tank

---

Forwarded to the Dy/Comr. for early report as to the circumstances of the village and of the Petitioners, and the most effective and equitable way of recovering the Govt. dues and the arrangements, if any, entered into or promised by the Jodidars.

B.O.

Draft

for Chief Secy.

R. 59-88-7

P 16-9-90

P 27
P 110

(General Serial No. 31.)

1457
29 MAY. 90
113

1890-91.

From The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

5

Dated 19th May 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 files

General No.

No. 137

Forwards for orde
certain papers in re Jod
Arodi and Banavar
village tanks & suggests
mode of recovering the
amount due by the Jodid
for the repairs of the tanks
by Govt

LR 59-88-3

References
A1
2e/5/90

P.to D No 1
28/5/90

In K Srinivasaiengar for
precis - A1
5/6/90

A2 29-9-90 | 637 27-5-90 | A1 23-5-90

(5)

D 137.

Encln 2 files.

From

The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 602 of 15th March 1889, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the accompanying papers to be laid before the Dewan for his favorable consideration and orders.

2. The tanks of Jodi Arodi and Banavati villages in the Dodda Ballapur Taluk were repaired by Government, at a cost respectively of Rs. 6576 and Rs. 4971. For the recovery of the amount the villages have been held in attachment

H. Jodidars Consider the measure
a great hardship to them and pray
that the Government may be
pleased to Contribute a portion
of the cost. His request is in-
admissible, as the duty of keeping
Jodi tanks in good repair has
been more than once declared
and acted upon by Government.
At the same time it is not un-
true that the Vrittis of both
the villages held by a lot of poor
Brahmins, who if deprived of the
little and scanty means of income
they derive from this source will
be reduced to utter destitution.
Under the circumstances, it seemed
to me that this was a good case
to be brought to the notice of
Government for favorable and
indulgent treatment. The pro-
-cedure adopted in the case of Jodi

Such errors of measurement the Dy. Commr should have rectified here & in that case the Commr wanted in that case. That case has been so ill handled that it is yet unsettled & forms the subject of further correspondence

Dy Asst

Timmlapur village of the Hul-
-gur Sub Taluk in the Tumkur
District may be applied to these
tanks also. The cost of repairs
plus a reasonable interest may
be allowed to spread over a few
years, and the money recovered
in the way of the imposition
of a water rate of four Rupees
per acre. It is believed that
the water of the tanks in Question
Commands an irrigable area of
228 acres, and if the mode
above suggested be sanctioned,
the annual recovery will amount
to Rs: 9/2, and the debt of Govern-
-ment will be recovered in a
period of about 15 years.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
R. Ramanuja Rao
for Dy: Commissioner

With

COURT FEE FOR COPY

2R

24-11-20

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

1 DEC 1920

59 Tie
207
Rgd 4/12/20

1801

Reg'd 14/11/01

ಕಾಲಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ

(General Serial No. 30).

189 /.

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr Bangalore dist

Dated 25th February /91

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 13483 P 1064

No 538

Releasing the Jodi villages of Arodi & Banavati of Jodidars Nanjindappa & Thiro, from attachment, & making them over to Jodidars, on condition of them agreeing to pay the amount of Rs 1,554 7 due to Govt, for having repaired the Tanks in their Jodi villages.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 260 of 8th Feb: 89.

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent
Nanjundappa & other inhabts of Jodi & Banvati Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore District		

Decision. 21.2.91.

The Tanks of the Petns' Jodi village were repaired by Govt at a cost of Rs 11,54 /, and for the recovery of this amount the Jodi villages have been placed under attachment. Petitioners state that they are unable to pay the whole amount at once and request that they may be allowed to pay it by instalments at Rs 4/- an acre on the lands irrigated under the Tank. The Dy. Commr recommends indulgent consideration as the villages are held by a number of individuals who live from hand to mouth.

Under the circumstances stated, the Govt are pleased to direct that the villages be released from attachment and made over to Petitioners, if they agree to pay the amount due to Govt by instalments of Rs 100/- a year or together with

with interest at 4 per cent per annum. The lands irrigated under the Tanks are stated to be 228 acres and the Anna Petis are now called upon to pay is about Rs 4/- an acre. The Govt. cannot undertake to collect the water rate from each individual & the Inam village be released from attachment and made over to Petitioners. It is an arrangement which Petitioners should come to among themselves.

25/2

By order

25/2/91

No. 13483 / 538 dt. 25-2-91 / 4-3-91

Copy forwarded to the Spl. Comm., Bangalore with the papers received with his No. 137 B dt. 19/5/90.

By order

for Chief Secy

(8) 65

Appellants No. 2607
Vangundappa & other Jodidars
of Arodi village, Arsikere
Taluk.

Endorsement.

LR. 59-88-5

In reply to their Petition dated 8th February 1889 Petitioners are referred to the Dy: Commr: to whom instructions have been issued on the subject of their complaint.

~~is informed that~~

The enclo: is herewith returned.

By Order,

Secretary.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
25th July 1891.
4-3-91.

No. 2078.

Copy forwarded to Ramabhatta & other Jodidars of Banavati village, Doddaballapur Tk. with reference to their petition No 2078 of November/90 —

By order

Asst Secy

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

(10)

No. 2

Name of Petitioner. Ranjundia and other Vrittidars of Banavathi

Village. Banavathi

Taluk. Doddaballapur (11)

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 23rd June

Date of Receipt. 1st July

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioners state that their village was attached by the Govt as they were unable to pay, in a lumpsum, the amount spent in repairing the tank, that, on their representation, an order was passed in this office that the village may be released and they may be allowed to pay the amount by instalments, and that in spite of this order the village remains attached for the last 3 years and therefore pray for the release of the vill

Petn

23

✓ Do the Dy/com for a report with reference to the order conveyed in Govt Endt: dated 25th Feby 91

RO.

21/9/92

7-12-92 of 85-6

Pet
Fr 93-923

67

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM. (11)

The accompanying Petition No. 2
dated 1st July/92 received at the
Dewan's Office from Nanjundayya
and other Vrittidars of
Banavathi, Dodballapur
Taluk, praying for the release
of their village from attachment
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures,~~ to the Dy: Commr
Bangalore Dt, for a report
with reference to the orders
conveyed in Govt decision
No 13483/538 dt 25/2/91.

2: The petition should be
returned.

LR-59-88-7

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
1892

26/7

Fwd. issued
26/7

(General Serial No. 30.)

68

1894-95

From The Dy Commissioner 2680 Rt 144 Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore. (13)

Dated 23rd August 1894

Despatched 27/8/94

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No.

No. 259, in appeal

In reply to Govt order No 2607 of 1889. & Endorsement No. 13483/5-38 of the 25 Idem.

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the Jodi villages of Arodi and Bavuti in the Doddaballapur Taluk Bangalore District now under Govt management.

Return

27/8/94

Rec'd 28/8/94

References (to be entered only in the Dowan's Office).

The Govt order No 13483/538 dy 26-2-91 with connected papers were put up & laid to Petition Branch for with No. 172 dy 3-6-91 Genl No 2041 from the Dy Comm Bangalore subg the deposition taken from Nandupudi Ramanna Jodidar of Siddanahalley Taluk Hosakote Bangalore on 10-6-91.

31/8/94

31/8

Vide 93-92 connected with subject is put up.

31/8/94

Submitted to Council

31/8/94

LR. 59-8-8-0

The only way of saving Kempon Gowda is, to get the villages surveyed & assessed. If they are surveyed & assessed, the Revenue may improve. ask the Dy Comr to ascertain the wishes of the Jodis in the matter.

Or, the Jodis may be summoned to Bangalore to appear before the Council any Monday. Chief Secy may do either

27/8/94

Dy Commissioner's Office, Cy

Dc No 259. Bangalore, 22nd August 1894.

Y Endt 24

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reference to the Order of the Government dated 21st February 1891 in Appeal No 2607 of 1889 in regard to the Jodi Villages of Arodi and Banavati communicated to the Deputy Commissioner with Endorsement No $\frac{13483}{5.38}$ of the 25th Idem, I have the honor to report that although more than three years have elapsed since the above order sanctioning the transfer of the villages to the Jodidars on their executing a Muchilka binding themselves to pay Rs 1000/- yearly with interest at 4 per cent per annum towards the contribution due by them, the Amildar has not-

been able to get all the Vrittidars to appear and sign the Muchilka. Unless all the Vrittidars appear and sign the Muchilka, I do not, under the orders of the Government, feel competent to act on it. I am now informing the prominent men among the Vrittidars who come forward to petition every time and again that unless they bring all the Vrittidars and have the Muchilka signed, the Concession made by the Government will remain inoperative.

2. As the Government is aware these two villages were brought under the management of the Government on the dates shown opposite to them for the recovery of the

cost of the repairs of the Tanks executed by the Government. The cost payable by each village is also exhibited opposite to it.

Rs. a.

Aprodi: 3rd December 1888 — 6,576.

Banavati: 10th August 1889 — 4,971.

3. I enclose a statement showing the Government demand, collection and balance in regard to each village for the years of the management. From this statement you will find that the villages have to pay to the Government the following amounts:—

	Aprodi.	Banavati
Jodi —	2670.9.3 ———	339.14.11
Quit Rent.	1.7.11 ———	" " "
Local cess.	303.7." ———	203.4.2
Interest.	320.12." ———	Not shewn in the demand.
Cost of Tank repairs	6576." " ———	4000.8."
	9872.4.2 ———	4543.11.1

4. I enclose another statement which shows the demand, collection and balance of these villages on account of the Jodidars. From this, the following results are obtained:—

	Demand.	Collection.	Balance.
Arodi	138	74.4.4	8160.3.10
Banavati	28	99.15.10	1865.13.8

5. The villages have not been surveyed in detail. The demand seems to have been made out from the information obtained from the Jodidars. A large portion of the so-called balance shown as due is disputed by the Ryots. The Amildar reports that

Rs. 65-3.9.8. against Arodi
and
Rs. 139.8.3. against Banavati

are irrecoverable.

6. The nominal demand in favor of the Jodidars for Vijaya was for Aprodi Rs. 2618. 9. 4 and for Bavarati Rs. 596. 11. 4 against which the Government demand of the Jodi and the local cess is Rs. 15-12. 1. 3 and Rs. 391. 8. 0. The average per year of the disputed rentals which comes to Rs. 833.14.6 for Aprodi and Rs. 178. 12. 10 for Bavarati be deducted from the nominal demand, the net demand is Rs. 1784. 10. 10 for Aprodi and Rs. — 417. 14. 6 for Bavarati Deduct from these amounts, the Government demand as shown above, the balance is Rs. 272-9-7 for Aprodi and Rs. — 26-6-6 for Bavarati. Thus, it is clear that these villages in their present condition cannot afford to pay even the interest at 4 per cent on the large balances still due to Government. It is thus hopeless to expect to

recover the balance while the Villages remain as at present under Government management.

7. Further, the management has proved advantageous neither to the Government nor to the Jodidars. Un-Surveyed villages have Battaye which is a source of Corruption under Government management.

8. These villages have not hitherto been reported on annually and I should not have come to know anything of them but for the periodical Scrutiny of arrears of Government Revenue.

9. I am altogether opposed to the continuance of Government management. I beg to propose that after six months notice in the Gazette calling

on the Jodidars to pay up the arrears still due and take back the villages, the villages with right to collect the arrears shown in the accounts be sold by public auction subject, of course, to the payment of the usual Jodi. I solicit very early orders.

10. I have retained the vernacular records, as I want to go to the villages and overhaul the accounts. The information contained in this letter and its enclosures will, it is hoped, prove a sufficient data enabling the Government to dispose of this reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- Unyard Esq
23/1/44
Dy Commissioner
Tuticorin



(12)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
DATE 14-7-92

Amount due for copy — (4-4-9)

1/9/92.

Fee (4-4-9) received and copy delivered.

Proceedings of the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (14)

Read:

Letter no. 259 dated 23rd August 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, reporting that the Jodidars of Avodi and Banavah, Doddaballapur Taluk, may be allowed to take back the villages from Government management on payment of the arrears still due, as the present management has proved advantageous neither to the Government nor to the Jodidars

59-80-9

No. 4478 dated 2-8-94
Pol. Dept. 93-92 12

order thereon.

The only way of saving the poor Inamdars is to get the villages surveyed and assessed. If they are surveyed

and assessed, the revenue may improve. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to ascertain the wishes of the Inamdars in the matter

Draft.

Chief Secretary

To 1 The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore
Disbursement to reference to his letter No 2598 28th August 94
2 Copy of the above with a Canarese translation is forwarded to the Amildar of Doddaballapur with a request that he will deliver it to Nanjundappa and other Jodidars and direct them and the other Vrittidars to appear at the Darbar Office on Thursday the 27th Inst

No 4479
Pol. 93-92

Dr.

Comp.

Chief Secretary

Drft
7/9.

Translation

LR. 58-88-10

Proj. No. 13483 / 538

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

(15)

No. 795

Name of Petitioner. Vrishabavathi Iron & Steel Works Ltd

Village.

Taluk. Doddaballapur

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 11-9-94

Date of Receipt. 11-9-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Pray that the Deputy Commr may be directed to release their Forest village from attachment and hand over the same to the Petitioners as per Government Pro. No. 13483 / 538 dated 21st [illegible] at an early date

~~Rejected~~

~~Block~~ put up the above

~~Petition~~

P.F. 93-92 is put up

~~xxx~~

25/9.

Submitted to Council

C.J. 26/9

L.R. 59-58-11

DK

17/9

795
11-95

(General Serial No. 30.)

6788

189

R1922

From The Dy Commissioner, Bangalore District

To Pet 317

(17)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 23rd Nov 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. three

General No.

No. 616.

In reply to Govt. Proc: No 4428 dated 2nd Sept: 1894. P.T. 93-92

SUBJECT.

Reporting about the introduction of Survey Settlement in the Inam Villages of Arodi and Bannvati, Doddaballapur Taluk.

Forwarded to the Political Branch.

30/11

1/12/94

L.R. 59-88-12

26/11/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

D. No. 616.

Enc: three

Dy Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 23rd November 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Government Proceedings No. 4428 P.F. 93-92 dated 2nd September 1894, Requesting to ascertain the wishes of the Inamdars of Arodi and Banavati villages, Dodda Ballapur Taluk, about the introduction of Survey and Settlement into them, I have the honor to report that while Arodi has 59 vrittis and Banavati 29, only 9 vrittidars of the former and 5 of the latter appeared in compliance with the notice. A majority of the Vrittidars present are against the introduction of the Survey Settlement. Mr. Assistant Commissioner Raghunatha Rao says that all the

Vrittidars could not be got at. It is not possible to get at them all as reported already in my former letter No. 349 of the 17th September 1894. So far as the introduction of the Survey Settlement is concerned, I do not think the consent of the Vrittidars is necessary. The villages being under the management of the Government, the Deputy Commissioner is, under Section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, competent to introduce the Survey Settlement temporarily at the cost of the Inam-dars independently of their consent. If the Government think that this course can save the Inamdars, I shall proceed to introduce the Survey Settlement, but I still adhere to my former recommendation with the modification now suggested by Mr. Raghunatha Rao. The two put together comes to a

rateable distribution of the arrears among the several Vrittidars and Release of the Vrittes of such holders as pay their respective share within the prescribed time and sale of the Jest. By the present arrange-ment, as also by the Remedy Sug-gested by the Government we are punishing and shall continue to punish the Vrittidars who are willing to pay up their dues for the default made by others, which is not just to the former.

2. The with holding of rent by certain Ryots to which Mr. Raghuna-tha Rao refers has already been noticed by me and reported to Government in my first letter No. 349 dated 17th September 1894. I am going to investigate it while doing the Jamabundy of the Taluk which I am to settle this year. I enclose Mr. Raghunatha Rao's Memorandum

No. 285 - dated 13th Instant with its enclosures for reference.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

Mansfield

for Dy Commissioner.

No: 285/2 — 94/95 - D.R. No. 1477/2

Memo

Reference Deputy Commissioner's No. 1325 dated — 2 letters with copy of letter No. 259 of 20th August/94 and the Government order thereon — account the arrears still due by the Jodidars of Arodi and Banavati villages, Dodballapur taluk.

I am requested to ascertain the wishes of the Vrittidars as to the introduction of Survey and settlement into the two villages. I had 14 leading Vrittidars present at Rajankunte. Of these 9 belong to Arodi & 5 to Banavati villages. The remaining Vrittidars appear to have been absent in different places. Their attendance could not be secured in a short time. Their statements taken before me are hereto annexed together with a list of number

Draft letter to Govt. seeking this & till the Govt. issue a mandate of a majority of the Vrittidars present at the meeting in the manner laid down in the Govt. order dtd 20 of the D.R. close the D.R. is completed & introduce the Survey settlement

of Vrittis owned by each, for information.

2. Arodi village is divided into 59 Vrittis and Banavati 29 but most of the present holders have acquired several Vrittis by purchase &c. One of their prayers is that there being no connection between the two villages not only each village should be dealt out separately but also each Vritty should be so dealt out in recovering the arrears of revenue in question. One of the Vrittidars present, viz:- Narayana Sastry said that he would pay up the arrears due by him, both on account of the Jodi amount and the Cost of repairs to the tank, at once.

3. The arrears due up to the end of the last year are as follow:-

A. Arodi village -

Jodi minus the excess quitrent to be remitted under Govt orders Rs:	2289-5-6
Cost of repairs to the Tank Rs:	6576-0-0
Interest Rs:	320-12-0
Total Rs:	9186-1-6

B.

temporari
cost of the
and
of their ow
Govt this
this coura
cause th
I shall d
accordin
recommen
with the n
new dasa
M. Rajam
Memora
of permi
the Govt &
distribute
suitably
the revenu
and relie
the Vrittid
holders on
respectin
the prescr
and Sala

B. Banavati village—

Jodi Rupees 141-9-9
Cost of repairs to the
Tank Rupees ——4000-8-"

Total Rs: 4142-1-9

4. Under the recent Government orders, the leading Vrittidars executed Muchalikas offering to pay up the arrears by annual instalments viz:- Rs: 1000—— for Arodi village & Rs: 500—— for Banavati village— All the Vrittidars present have agreed that they would pay the last three years' instalments (since the date of the Government order) that is, Rupees 4500—— in the aggregate at once, if allowed to do so— and continue to pay the instalments at the above rate till the arrears are cleared.

5. Banavati village has seven hamlets or Dakhle villages to it— Of these 5 are depopulated and the lands covering a large extent are lying fallow from time immemorial. The lands brought under plough are only in the remaining 3 villages.

Banavati

Banavate included. The raiyats of one of these three villages, that is, Pachchalahalli have withheld payment for the last 10 years in consequence of a dispute between them and the Vrittidars; the former asserting that they cultivated the lands on condition of paying a fixed rate of assessment, but the latter maintaining that they let out the lands only on Wara tenure and that they are entitled to a share in the produce. According to the cultivators' admission, the arrears for the last 10 years amount to nearly 1000 Rupees, but the Jodidars' claim is for nearly 3000 Rs:- The Vrittidars cannot institute a suit against the cultivators because the village is under the Government management.

6. As to the introduction of the survey & settlement, the Vrittidars are divided. The majority is against it and the minority for it. Those who are against it say that in the settled Govt. villages, in the ~~neighbouring villages~~ Kotigere

By the present arrangement as also by the remedy suggested by the Govt. we are enabled to continue & punish the Vrittidars who are willing to pay up their dues for the default made by others who is not just to the former. The Vrittidars of Kud by certain By ots to which Govt.

Kaffumatta (Kartigere Taluk to which the Jodi villages formerly belonged) the survey rates are as low as 2 to 8 as. per acre; whereas the Vritticdars realize at present rates varying from 1 to 3 rupees an acre —

Considering the large area of Banjar lands in each village, they will sustain loss by the introduction of the survey rates. The minority maintain that the extent of land attached to the several Vrittis differs considerably while the Jodi amount fixed to each is the same. This is inequitable to those whose lot is to possess less extent of land. They are therefore of opinion that the introduction of the survey and settlement may wipe off all the inequities.

7. I am of opinion that the introduction of the Survey & settlement into the two villages will be beneficial to all concerned provided the average survey rate for Doddaballapur Taluk be adopted and provided that this measure will not be interfered with the concession already allowed to pay up the arrears by annual instalments

instalments.

Camp Rajankunte dated
13th November 1894.

Rao Bahadur T. Rao.
Pt Genl Apte Commr
Bangalore District

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible]

(1)

12-11-94

0

[illegible]

[illegible] 333-10-[illegible]

[illegible] 4000-8-0

[illegible] 4334-2-9

[illegible] 192-7-0 [illegible]

[illegible]

12-11-94

Statement shewing the arrears of revenue due from the ryots of Jodi Arodi and Banavati villages during the years they were under the Government management.

Taluk	Hobli	Village Serial No.	Name of village	Arrears due for the year Sarvadhari 1888-89 Rs. A. P.	Virodhi 1889-90 Rs. A. P.	Vikriti 1890-91 Rs. A. P.	Khara 1891-92 Rs. A. P.	Nandana 1892-93 Rs. A. P.	Vijaya 1893-94 Rs. A. P.	Jaya 1894-95 Rs. A. P.	Total Rs. A. P.
Doddballapur	Kodaballi	1	Arodi	204 5 7	233 10 "	272 3 7	342 4 11	392 7 1	430 3 9	626 14 6	2502 1 5
		2	Banavati	" " "	104 " 7	135 10 2	169 " 1	170 13 9	234 2 10	239 3 9	1053 13 2
			Total	204 5 7	337 10 7	407 13 9	512 3 "	563 4 10	664 6 7	866 2 3	3555 14 7

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th December 1897.

Deputy Commissioner.

Demande pr Kharif	Total	Collection in Kharif			Balance.	Demande pr Kandiane	Total	Collection Kandiane		Demande pr Kisangar	Total.	Remark	Balance upto 10th April 1894.	
		Collection	Remission	Total				Remark	Balance					
1361 " "	4360	17	1947	5-3	416 " "	2363	5-3	1996	12 4	1361 " "	335	12 4	985-15	10
" 10 "	2 4	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	2 4	" "	10 "	2	14 "	2 -1	
150 7	3 4386	5-	125-	8 "	167 " "	292	8 "	145-	14 5-	150 7	3 296	5-6	56	5 11
" " "	508	21	" " "		508	21	508	21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	320	12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	320	12 "	" " "	320	12 "	" " "	" " "
" " "	65-76	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65-76	" "	" " "	65-76	" "	" " "	" " "
1512 1	3 12205-10	1	2072	13 3	1091	21	3163	1534	9041	10 9	1512 1	3	10545	12 " 10445-10

Demande pr Kharif	Total	Collection in Kharif			Balance.	Demande pr Kandiane	Total	Collection Kandiane		Demande pr Kisangar	Total.	Remark	Balance upto 10th April 1894.	
		Collection	Remission	Total				Remark	Balance					
2371 12 6	1361 " "	3732	12 6	1062	33	2670	9 3							
" 1311 "	10 "	1	7 11	" " "	1	7 11								
239 15 9	150 7 3	390	7 "	87 " "	303	7 "								
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "								
320 12 "	" " "	320	12 "	" " "	320	12 "								
65-76 " "	" " "	65-76	" "	" " "	65-76	" "								
9509 62	1512 1	3 11021	7 5-	1149	33	9872	42							

...ste do Depeschen 1894 of Akte Jahr Hyrec. Ausgabe

Einnahme.		Ausgabe.	
	Betrag.		Betrag.
Kassarest.		Kassarest.	
Bewilligt für Lieferung.		Bewilligt für Lieferung.	
Tarif		Tarif	
Collecturen		Collecturen	
Einnahmen		Einnahmen	
Total		Total	
Kassarest.		Kassarest.	

| | | |

Statement showing the amount payable to the Zamindars by Ryots.

Village	Faluvai or year.	Kandayam due by Ryots				amount collected during the year.			Balance
		Back year	Present year			amt. collected thro' Zamindar	amt. collected by Govt.	Total	
			Collection amt.	Balance	Total				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Arodu	Sarvadhari the year in which the village was brought under Government	a a a	1678 1 2 4	a a a	1678 1 2 4	a a a	139 6 10	139 6 10	1539 5 6
	Virodhi	1539 5 6	1683 10 11	a a a	3223 - 5	a a a	1581 6 2	1581 6 2	1641 10 3
	Vikruthi	1641 10 3	1714 5 11	1389 4 7	4748 4 9	a a a	2273 - 10	2273 - 10	2472 3 11
	Khara	2472 3 11	1729 1 11	922 15 8	6724 5 6	a a a	1650 15 8	1650 15 8	3473 5 10
	Nandana	3473 5 10	1729 1 11	357 5 9	5559 13 6	a a a	915 14 8	915 14 8	4637 4 10
	Vijaya	4637 4 10	1715 2 a	903 7 4	7256 8 2	a a a	1599 7 8	1599 7 8	5657 a 6

Bangalore
25th August/94

copied

For by Commissioner.

P.J.C

5

Salwar partículars of

Arrears of amount due by the Ryots to the Jodidars of Arodi village.

Village	Sarvadhari			Virodhi			Vikruti			Khara			Nandana			Vijaya			Total			
Jodi Arodi	14	7	2	6	7	6	7	12	10	8	24	-	5	9	5	1	5	10	12	13	4	-	11	5	3	6	11	5	6	5	7	-	6

Statement showing the amount payable to the Jodidars by Ryots.

Village	Salvar or Year	Kandayam due by Ryots				amount collected during the year.				
		Ma. st. Kyam.	Present year collecting amount	Balance	Total	amount collected thro' Jodidars	amt. collected by Govt.	Total	Balance	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Bavanati	Virodhi	a a a	5 82 10 1	a a a	5 82 10 1	a a a	391 8 a	391 8 a	191 2	
	Vikruti	191 2 1	5 72 4 2	a a a	763 6 3	a a a	400 8 8	400 8 8	362 13	
	Khara	362 13 7	562 8 7	a a a	925 6 2	a a a	320 14 2	320 14 2	604 8	
	Nandana	604 8 a	5 85 13 8	a a a	1190 5 8	a a a	588 12 10	388 12 10	801 8	
	Vijaya	801 8 10	596 11 4	a a a	1398 4 2	a a a	364 2 a	364 2 a	1034 2	

W Canara Office
Bangalore
23rd August/94

Collr a
Asst to Commissioner
for Sy Commissioner

P. J. C

Silver particulars of

Arrears of amount due by the Ryots to the Jodidars of Bamarati village

Village	Virodhi			Vikruti			Khara			Nandana			Vijaya			Total.		
Jodi Bamarati	15	2	9	5	218	1	10	224	12	2	192	10	"	246	"	9	1034	2	2

Hoddadaspur Taluk.

Statement of Government Demand, Co

Village	Inamdars	Extent	Assessment	Date on which the village was brought under Government Assessment.	Balance of Demand on 31st Dec. 1888.	Demand for the Year Sarvadaree	Total.
Gode Arade	Akkalkaringa and others.	Acres. Guntas 3984 - 35 -	Rs. 30755 - 4 - 0				
				Land	25·71 a 1	1361 a a	3932 a
				Quit rent	a a a	a 10 a	a 10
				Local cess	334 a a	150 7 3	484 7 3
				Inm; cess	5·70 10 1	21 6 9	592 a 0
				Interest	320 12 a	a a a	320 12 a
				Cost of Tank Repairs	65·76 a a	a a a	65·76 a a
					10372 6 2	1533 8 a	11905 14 2

Manuri: Office
Bangalore
23rd augt/94

Dodballapur Taluk.

Statement of Demand, Collection & Balance for Kharif village.

Village	Inamdars.	Extent	Assessment.	Date on which the Village was transferred under Government Management.	Balance due on 10th August 1889.	Demand for the year Fasli	Total	Collection in Khata									
								Collection		Remission		Total					
Gulli Bavanahalli	Municipality and others	Acres Guntas 369 20	Rs. a. p. 15-12-8-0	Jodi	116 a 8	393 a a	509 a 8	3½	0	146	2½	a a	a	320	142
				Local cess	76 a 4	94 8 6	170 8 10	62	a	a a	a	a a	a	a a	a	a a	
				Irrigation cess	79 5 a	29 5 6	108 10 6	—	a	a a	a	108	10	6	108	10	6
				Cost of Tank Repairs	4971 a a	a a a	4971 a a	a	a	a a	a	a a	a	a a	a	a a	
					5242 6 a	516 14 a	5759 4 a	3½	20	146	2½	108	10	6	429	8	8

D. Manuri: Officer
Bangalore.
23rd August 1894.

Submit to council for orders with papers

V. J. C.
23/11.

V.

1/

18-12-94

Receive the file
12/12

LR 59-88-13

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1894

(18)

No. 1481

Name of Petitioner. H. Krishna Rao Joisdar of

Village. Aurodi

Taluk. Doddaballapur

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 24-11-94 (23)

Date of Receipt. 25-11-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

States that their villages [illegible] taken under Govt. management they appealed to Govt. who decided that the villages might be released if the Vokkaligas would themselves pay a number-ber liable to pay annually Rs. 600 until the arrears were cleared - that the Taluk Authorities were directed upon making one of the Vokkaligas responsible for the regular payment of the said annual instalment holding each Vokkaligar liable to pay arrears due on his Patta - none of them came forward to comply with the requisites - that all the Vokkaligas are not only willing to pay the arrears due for the last three instalments but would also promise to pay future instalments punctually - that the introduction of peace and settlement into these villages contemplated in the recent orders of Govt. does not tend towards the encouragement of the Vokkaligas - that [illegible] Vokkaligas - and that [illegible] Centum of the Govt. Revenue according to the Survey

Tenants do not proportionate to the extent
of land in their respective occupancy, leases
that a definite money rent or share may
be reserved and that each Settlement be made
liable for the payment of as much rent as
might be fixed on the lands actually in his
occupation and enjoyment -

27/4.

1481
23/11

Petition
Dewan
of
Mysore
into Kannada

110

R. NO. 1481 R
DATE 23-11-94

(18)

To
The Dewan of His Highness
The Maharaja Raja of Mysore.

Most Respected Sir

The following is the humble prayer of H Krishna Row Godidar of Aurodi village Doddabalapur Taluk.

92 93
1141

I In Conformity with the recent orders of the Government regarding the arrears of contribution amount due by the Vrutidars of the said village, the General Assistant Commissioner Mr Ragoonatha Row summoned all the Vrutidars before him with a view to ascertain from them whether they were willing to have their villages settled and assessed and this report together with that of the Deputy Commr might have been received by you.

II Immediatly after the villages were taken under Government management, we appealed to Government and in response to that, it was ordered that the villages might be released if the Vrutidars executed a Muchalika agreeing to pay Rupees (600) Year after year until the arrears were cleared.

III The Taluk authorities insisted on one of

Single Vrutidars holding himself responsible for the regular payment of instalments and since the amount due was a large one, no person was forward enough to take the responsibility on his shoulders.

IV The best course that would have been beneficial both to Government and the Vrutidars was for Government to have released the portion belonging to each Vrutidar making him responsible for the payment of arrears due on his Vruti and in default to deal with his Vruti as if the arrears fell due on his Vruti alone, thus each Vruti could have been treated as a separate whole which could be disposed of according to rule, if within the stipulated time the Jadidar did not pay the arrears.

V That however was not done, nor were the Government orders given effect to, even now each Jadidar is willing to pay up the arrears due on account of his Vruti for the past three instalments which remain unpaid from the time the Villages passed under Government management and promises to pay future instalments regularly. The amount may be collected from each and respective portions released. If there should be any default, the defaulting Vrutidar's share may be dealt with according to rules.

VI The introduction of Survey and Settlement contemplated by Government in their recent Order and regarding which the Jadidars were consulted is not, as thought by Govt, Calculated

to protect the interests of the Jodidars at all. 117
far from being this it would surly lead the
Inamdars into unnecessary expens and loss in
more ways than one.

VII Further The distribution of Jodi amount among
the several Vrutidars is not fair as it is now
for the whole Jody amount is divided into as
many shares as there are Vrutidars and each
Vrutidars is made to pay, irrespective of the
extent of the Land that he may hold, the same
Jodi amount as any other that may be in
possession of a much larger extent. It is therefore
the earnest prayer of all the Vrutidars that a
Survey should be introduced into the village
and each Vrutidar be made liable
for the payment of only as much Jodi as might
be fixed on the lands actualy in his possession
and enjoyment, as matters stand now he has to
pay jodi for lands that may not be in his possn
but in that of another.

VIII I request therefore that the prayers
detailed in the above paragraphs may be
granted to us.

Most respectfully
I beg to remain Sir
24. 11. 94 Your most Obedient
and humble Servant
K Krishna Row.

(16)

113/1/E7

14/1

No. 121/3

23/9/94

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:—

Letter No. 259 dated 23d August 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District reporting that the Joidars of Arodi and Banavati, Doddaballapur Taluk, may be allowed to take back the villages from Government management on payment of the arrears still due, as the present management has proved advantageous neither to the Government nor to the Joidars

Order thereon No. 4479 P.T. 93-94
Dated Bangalore 12 September 1894

The only way of saving the poor Inamdars is to get the villages surveyed and assessed. If they are surveyed and assessed, the revenue may improve. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to ascertain the wishes of the Inamdars in the matter.

(Sd) T. Ananda Row
Chief Secretary

To The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with reference to his letter No. 259 of 23d August 1894.

No 4479 of 12-9-94.
P.T. 93-94

Copy of the above (with a Canarese translation) is forwarded to the

Peruldar of Doddaballapur [redacted] a
respect that he will deliver it to Ramjundappa
and Nine Jaridars and direct them and
the Nine Vrittidars to appear at the Dewan's
Office on Thursday the 27th Instant

Chief Secretary

14/9/94

14/9/64

సైడింగ్.

Vide Demi-adv
Draft D.C.
11/10/12

J.H.R.
12/12

I.R. 57-58-14

Gen. Serial No. 32]

189

(19)

No. 1573

Name of Petitioner. Ranjundappa Banavatti Jodidar.

Village.

Taluk. } Dodballapur

District. } Bangalore

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 6-12-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Govt order no 13483/538 d/21st February 9/80, petitioner says that he has already paid Rs 1000/- and that he will pay the balance now. prays that orders may be issued to release both the Banavatti & Hindee villages.

Asst Dy Comrs.

8/12/94

order thereon:-

Banavatti.

petitioner says

he paid Rs 1000/- and will now pay Rs 500/-
It is a mistake to keep the village under
Govt management. Let it continue
under attachment [illegible] of balance
due to Govt (which as regards this
village should be separately recorded)
and hand over the village to owners
who should pay the balance by
annual instalments of Rs 500/-
under the terms of the order of
21st February 1891.

Provided that if default is
made in any instalment, the
village will be sold to realize
the entire balance if possible
always. Vaithiswaran as is usually
done for arrears.

1-12-94.

(49)

1573

1573 B
6-12-96

No

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

Vide Demands Draft one 21/6/12

No. 1574 (20)

Name of Petitioner. Ranjendappa & others. Jodidars of Atodi

Village.

Taluk. } Doddaballapur

District. } Bangalore

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 6-12-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

drafted SHR 12/12

Vide draft on Petn. No. 4572.

T.K. 59-88-15

ABSTRACT.

With reference to decision
No 13483 dated 21-2-94
5538
the subsequent orders passed
by the Bangalore Dy Commrs
letter 259 dated 23/8/94, pray
that these Jodi villages may
be released and the amount
due to Govt recovered by
regular annual in-
stalments.

8/12/94
Demand order
thereon ____ Deal with

J.71

this village Afrodi separated
as with Banavatti Afrodi
shmed pay Rs 500/- per annum
under order of 21-2-91
1-12-94

15-7-91

15

15

Before the government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 2607 of 8th Feb. 1897.

Appellant. vs. Respondent

Nanjundappa and
other jodidars of Arodi
and Banavati, Dod-
-ballapur Taluq,
Bangalore District

Decision No. 13483/538 dated 21-2-9

The tanks of the petitioners' jodi villa
were repaired by government at a cost of
Rs 11,547/- and for the recovery of this amo
the jodi villages have been placed under att
chment. petitioners state that they are unal
to pay the whole amount at once and re
-quest that they may be allowed to pay it
instalments at Re 4/- an acre on the lan

rigated under the tank. The Deputy
ommissioner recommends indulgent consideration
s the villages are held by a number of individuals
who live from hand to mouth.

Under the circumstances stated, the
overnment are pleased to direct that the
illages be released from attachment and
ade over to petitioners, if they agree to pay
he amount due to Government by instal-
ments of Rs 1000 - a year together with inter-
t at 4/- per cent per annum. The lands
rigated under the tanks are stated to be 228
res. and the sum petitioners are now called
on to pay is about Rs 4/- an acre. The Gov-
ernment cannot undertake to collect the
ter rate from each individual if the 9-
tam village be released from attachment
d made over to petitioners. It is an arrang-
ement which petitioners, should make among
emselves.

"By order"

(Signed) A. Sreenivasachariu
for Chief Secretary.

Memo:— 126

Date of application for copy — 14th July 19

Do —— ready for delivery - 31/8/92

Do — delivered —— 7/9/92

Number of words —— 250

Copying fee —— 3 as -9

Comparing fee —— 0 — 10

paper cost 0 — 2

Total 4 as-9

True Copy.

23-8-94 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 2.5 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮೃತರ

128

Chief Secretary

copy sent.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1573 & 157

~~dated~~ ~~~~~~~~ received at the

Dewan's Office from Nanjundappa & another, Inhabitants of Banavati, Devanhalli Taluk, praying that the villages of Banavati and Arvadi may be relieved from attachment on account of the dues Revenue & Sayer.

PR. 59-88-16.

are ~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosures~~, to the Dy. Commr Bangalore District.

2. His attention is drawn to the remarks made by the Dewan on the petitions.

By Order,

Bangalore, 189

for Chief Secretary.

M.G.C.P., Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

Gen. Serial No. 32]

189

No. 575

Name of Petitioner. Banvatti Ranyundappa

Village. Banavatte

Taluk. Doddballapur

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 6-12-94

Date of Receipt. 6-12-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

With reference to his petition dated 1st December 94 prays that the Jodi village may be released —

8/12/94

13

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 1575 C
DATE 6-12-34

(21)

1647 of 1894.

132

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1894 (24)

No. 1647

Name of Petitioner. M. Krishna Rao
Jodidar of Arodi village, Doddaballapur Talu
residing in

Village.

Taluk. Bangalore

District.

Date of Arzi. 21-12-94

Date of Receipt. 10-12-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

12.59-88-18

ABSTRACT.

Reminder

Prays that he may be informed of the final orders passed on his petitions dated 2-12-94 etc praying for the relaesement of his jodi village from the Government management.

Send information
Endorsement to Petr
as usual, after Dafr
Dn. is passed by Dt 13/1

135

1647/91
10-12-94

(77)

11-12-94

1 (2) 1-24 (23)

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read —.

(1) Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore dated 23rd August 1894 No 259.

(2) Do. dated 23rd November 1894 No 616

(3) Petitions Nos. 1573 and 1574 of 1894 from the Inamdars of Banavati and Arodi

No 11872 Pet F 93-92 dt 21-1-95 Order thereon.

The management of the villages, Banavati and Arodi may be made over to the Inamdars during their attachment, ~~and the~~ Inamdars informed that

~~if they do not pay the balance due to Government at Rs 500 a year that is, Rs 500 for each of the villages~~ their villages would be ~~sold~~. The Inamdars of Banavali are willing to pay Rs 500, and those of Sirali Rs ~~500~~ 1500 at once, ~~which should be collected immediately and at Rs 500 each a year from~~ they also agree to pay the balance due at 500 Rs a year for each village from next year commencing 1st July 1895. If the amounts promised to be immediately paid are not paid within the end of June 1895, and if default be made in any future instalment, the

[redacted] village making the defaulter should be sold ~~to peoples~~ for the entire balance, always Vrittiwar, if possible, as is usually done for arrears.

Ul
21/1/1895 Redraft
SV

Sd/- V/Jairam
Chief Secretary

To
The Deputy Commr
Bangalore District.

Rff/11

No. 137 (24)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Nanjundappa and others, Jodidars of Banavati, Doddbellapur Taluk

in reply to Their Petition Registered No. 1573 ~~dated~~ 7 1894-95 praying that their Inam village may be released from attachment and that the Arrears due be recovered by Annual instalments

Petitioners is informed that the attachment of the village will Continue for the Arrears ~~due~~ till the Commr has been directed to make over the Management of the village to them pending its attachment. As agreed to by them they should pay Rs 500 ~~immediately~~ and the balance at Rs 500 every year Commencing from 1st July 1895 until the Arrears are cleared. If Rs 500 ~~are not paid before~~ proved to be paid immediately are not paid before the 1st of June 1895 — or if default be made in any future instalment the village will be sold for the realization of the entire balance.

LR. 57-88-20

1 before 1st July 1895

By order.

Chief Secretary.

24/'98

M. G. O. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

No. 130

(25)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Ranjundappa and other Jodedars of Arodi, Doddballapur Taluk,

in reply to their Petition Registered No. 1574 dated 7 1894-95

praying that their village may be released from attachment and that the arrears due be recovered by annual instalments

Petitioner is informed that L R 59-88-21 the attachment of the village will continue for the arrears ~~being~~ the Commr has been directed to make over the Management of the village to them during its attachment as agreed to by them, they should pay Rs 1500 ~~Rupees~~ duely add the balance at Rs 500 every year until the Arrears are cleared Commencing from 1st July 1895 until the arrears are cleared If Rs 1500 are not paid before the end of June 1895 or if default be made in any future instalment the village will be sold for the realization of the entire balance "By Order"

Received 1st Sept 1895

21/8/95

Chief Secretary.

M. G. O. P, Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

Gen. Serial No. 32.] 135

1895

No. 1928 (26)

Name of Petitioner. Ramjindappa - Jodidar of

Village. Arudbanavati

Taluk. Doddballapur

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 23-1-95

Date of Receipt. 23-1-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

Disposed of
Place on the file
2.2.95

ABSTRACT.

States that he has been complaining that the order passed by the Dewan in 1894 respecting the account of Coomb-bahan due to the Circle of the village has not as yet been brought into effect - that in addition to this he presented a petition to the Dewan personally at Bangalore on 1st Decr. last that he was pleased to say that the previous order should be given effect to and directed him to go to the Chief Secy who would grant him an Endorsement - that accordingly he submitted a petition on the 6th

Idem 160 when he was told that the
Order would be sent to him immediately
that as he did not get the Order he pre-
-sumed to the Asst. Journ. who told
him that Orders had been sent to Dist.
and that he could also be furnished
with an Endorsement and that
he has not been furnished with the Endorse
-ment as yet - Pray therefore that he may
be furnished with such Orders.

20/1.

Veln. Bn.
References?
Col. J.A.
23/1.

1928

1928 R

DATE 23-1-95

(2)

147

143

(21)

[illegible] 1573.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6-12-94 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1895 [illegible]

[illegible] 500 [illegible]

[illegible] 1895 [illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible] 500 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (500) [illegible]

[illegible] 95 [illegible]

[illegible]

144

"By order"

Re-draft.

for Chief Secretary.

(28)

15.7.84

"By order"

Redraft

for Chief Secretary

Sued.

25/-

147 1896 (32)

No. 1999

Name of Petitioner Ranjindappa - Hikedar of Jodi villages

Village Arudi Banavathi

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 18-12-96

Date of Receipt 19-12-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

In time (12 days 8 hrs 23)

Stamps sufficient

Appeal against Dy Comm's order conveyed in annexed endorsement.

May a report with records be called for?

Subd 2nd Comm Hon

M 4/1/97.

Recd 6.30 Pm. 4/1/97

Yes - No statement of arrears would now probably reach me before Monday

7/1/97

11 7-1-97

Dr office M 9/1/97

Order sheet drafted 9/1/97

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the order passed by Comm Bangalore District No. 321/2-11-96 con- -veyed herein by the annexure in his En- -ment No. 57/11-11-96.

The order appealed against is as follo

You requested the District Authorit that the amount of arrears due by ar ryots of Banavathi and Arudi for the years during which the said villag were under Govt. management might be recovered and paid to you On this a report in detail was submi In reply thereto No. 321/2-11-96 h been received stating that when the arrears was outstanding the village

were taken under Govt. management along with the said arrears. that the Inamdar has resumed the village under similar circumstances rendering himself liable to all the loss and profit therein. that nothing can be done in the matter except according to what has been provided in the Land Revenue Code and Rules. and that an Endorsement to the above effect should be framed by you.

The petitioner says:-

In the year Sarvadhari the above villages were taken under Govt. management as the Inamdars failed to pay in a lump sum the amount of contribution for the Land. They continued under Govt. management till 1894-95. Subsequently the villages were restored to the management of the Inamdars granting them on the security of their respective Shares 6 years time for the payment of the amount of contribution.

Himself and some other Inamdars have paid their instalments. The Authorities have not taken into their notice the non-payment of instalments by the remaining Inamdars.

When the village was taken under Govt. management the Authorities took from the Inamdars the detailed Kulwar, Jakwar Jafadi and Balaki accounts.

During the period the villages were under Govt. management the Authorities collected

portion of the arrears stood left the balance uncollected - This was not collected inspite of their repeated representation -

Post. should note how the Inamdars could be made liable to the loss and profit accrued in the said villages during the period they were under Govt. management. Properly speaking the income derived from the Khajgi and Watai Rabs during the said period should have been credited towards the amount of Contribution due by them - The Authorities have merely credited the actual revenue collected. This is in contravention to the justice and practice -

In spite of his representations in the matter from the beginning to the Taluk and District authorities yet without any Enquiry the Order under reference has been passed. The mistake in the matter lies with the Taluk authorities - The District Govt authorities have not dis-posed of the matter Equitably -

On 24-10-36 he submitted a petition to the District - In reply he was granted an Endorsement to the Effect that proper steps had been taken for the collection and that the Govt. would be glad if he also apis to the Amildar in the matter

(15)

Prays that on perusal of all the records connected with the case final orders may be issued directing that the amount collected in the villages accordingly the amounts furnished by them during the 7 years in which the villages were under Govt. management may be credited towards the contribution due by them and that any balance that may still be due by them be recovered from them in instalments.

VK

3/12

Enclosures 2

RECEIVED
PETITION DEPARTMENT
1998
DATE

ہے کہ

ہے کہ

ہے کہ

& Vernacular Records for refers.

(29)

1897 154

No. 199

Name of Petitioner Ranjundappa

Village Vuttidarof Avvodibanavathy

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 18-7-97

Date of Receipt 19-7-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

States that the expen
for the repairing of the
tanks of Avvodibanav
incurred by Govt was
ordered to be recovere
by the landholders
both sides of the ta
that the demand for
an annual amount
Rs 1000 was also sub
by decided by Govt to
recovered not through
one man, as the Taluk
Office proposed, but by each
individual holder in
proportion to his holdi

that he announce
others, agreeably to the
said decision have been
paying up their share of the amount
regularly and are even
now ready to clear up
the small arrear that
stands against them.

Further, states that
while others have been
allowed to run into heavy
arrears, he has been
held responsible for
for the sum that is
due from others also.

Prays that the ord.
order passed on the petition
dt 1-12-94 sanctioning
the collection of the amount
from each individual holder
may be renewed to the
Dy Commr, while he (petr)
is also granted a Hukum
to the same effect.

22/7/97.

Bdl
Jg3-92 15/6 Rud 4/8/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

RR-59-88-25 Pr

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition

Registered No. 199 dated 18-7-97

received at the Dewan's Office from

Yamanjunidappa, village of Hundi Bomavatti village Doddaballapur Taluk, in the matter of his prayer that he may be held responsible only for the portions of the fair sales of Revenue in respect to his holding

is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore Dt., for disposal in reference to Govt order No. 4892 dated 21-1-95 P.R. 93-92

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,

4-8-1897

31/7/97

1657 / 1897 (31)

99 of 97 R. F. 93 of 92.

10/11/97 Genl. Rds.

Please file the above-named file.

11/11/97. Petn. Br:— OR. 93-92 LR 54-88-26

11/11/97. submitted

(1) One anna Stamp affixed
(2) Dy. Comrs. order is 12/11/97

12/11/97

✓ Stamps impressed

Returned My 15/11/97

17-11-97

(Up were not put since the papers were not hence this end
Up ✓ The rel. abstract is put up
have since been traced)

1999 My 24/11/97

No. 1156

Name of Petitioner Ranjundappa

Village Arooti Banavati

Taluk Doddballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 4—11—'97

Date of Receipt 4—11—'97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT.

With refce to their Petn of June '97 and this Office Endse No. 199 State

that the repairs of the tank having been completed by Govt, the village had been taken under Govt management, for about 6 years;

that at the end of the period when the village was handed back to the ryots no proper account was given them

that it was subsequently

found out by the writtedars that some money was due by the ryots who, when demanded, refused to pay it; —

that the writtedars, however continued to pay their Jodi regularly except that they withheld payment (for Durmukhi) of the Zoddy-Sale-Amount at the rate of Rs 8/- for each writti, because Durmukhi was a year of scarcity; —

that this arrears against their name is almost× covered by what the Govt owes them, viz the revenue still remaining unrecovered from the ryots plus the Zoddy Sattige-Amount; —

+ that, in spite of this, their village has been put up to auction on the 29th Nov. '97.

Pray that the Dfdt may be ordered to stay sale-proceedings.

2. that they be granted an order to that effect.

× They agree to pay up by instalments any small arrears that may still remain unsatisfied

---

Encl:—

1. Pet to the Dy Commr.-With Endt No. 36, dt 14-9-97:—

If any arrears is due to you by your ryots, it is your business to recover it from them.

2nd:- With regard to this recovery of arrears from the ryots the petrs state that they find it impossible to sue them (ryots) as 3 years have passed.

8/11/97

Pet 1065 Inwl. 1 2 (33)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LR 59-80-27

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 999 dated 18th December 96 received at the Dewan's Office from Sanjappa Jodidar of Kudihanavatti Doddaballapur Taluk - appealing against Commissioner's Order No. 321 dated 6th Nov. 96

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit the Khumma Kharcha Kaidaram, Chowry for which he received Rs. 2-0-0 on 1st Dec. 96 as per receipt No. 1 dated 1st Dec. 96 in Doddaballapur Taluk Cutcherry.

Thayarn Pore.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

BANGALORE, 9th July 1897

Chief Secretary.

Draft

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Petition petition
is being insufficiently stamped
Court order not produced
Sd. V.K.J.
30/1
Reference of any before Endorsement
Sd. V.K.J.
11/2

Reply sent up
11/2

Submitted
up
11/2

Write a reminder to the Dy Comm^r calling for the report
M 11/2/97

Reminder issued
12/2/97

1893-94 Pet 1566 Vol 394

160

1897

(34)

No. 2472

Name of Petitioner Banavathi Ranjundappa

Village Arasi Vrikshoor in the Jodi Village

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 28-1-97

Date of Receipt 30-1-97.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1

ABSTRACT.

States that in 1880 he purchased in auction Some Trees in the above village - that pattah in docket No. 2660/8-7-87 issued in the name of the Dy Comm^r about the petitioner being ill at his option the Trees purchased by him and also about the grant proceeds due to him - that the authorities do not carry out the above instructions although the Sub Divisional Officer Taluk Sub Division and District Authorities - that on these he complained to Govt. who issued orders in the matter to the Dy Comm^r and granted him Endorsement No. 20008/15-3-94 - that on inquiry he personally presented a petition to the Dy Comm^r Enclosing the above Endorsement - that as he

he has not granted his prayer he is again
entitled to take fresh steps with this petition
Prays that orders may be issued to the Dy. Comr.
Bellary to refund the value of the paddy produced
due to him or to credit the same towards the amount due by him to Govt.
Prays further that he may also be informed
of the steps by an Endorsement.

M.K.
5/12/87
Enclosure.

Copy of docket No. 246 of 8-7-87 to the Dy. Comr.
Bangalore District from this Office.

99

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

Dated 8th July 1887

General No
No 246

Has the honor to forward the accompanying petition No 28 December 1885 from Banavathi Changondappa, Doddaballapur Taluk for disposal in continuation of this Office no 3041/93 dated 16th July 1886

If the lands of the inam village of Arudi have been actually divided among the vrilidars, that belonging to the ryottis which the petitioner has purchased purchaser must be put into his possession, as purchaser at the sale for arrears of Revenue gives the purchaser a right to possession (vide draft Revenue Code the provisions of which on this position are identical with the law as recognized to be now in force in the province) The Deputy Commissioner will possibly

possibly be able to effect an amicable ~~at~~ settlement in regard to past produce and arrears of jodi between petitioner and the men in possession of the writtis in question.

By order
G. Bhadriah
for Chief secretary

Date of application for copy by Ranjeendiah 20-7-88

Date copy ready for delivery 24-8-88

Number of words 164

Copying fees including comparing Rs. 2-8.

1320

[35] 165

1999 Bg Memo No 1065-97-1-97

189 7

No. 200

Name of Petitioner Ranjuidappa Devi Hiregadde

Village of Aroodibanavara

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 18 - 7 - 97

Date of Receipt 19 - 7 - 97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

Reminder of his petn appealing against order of the Dy Comr in the matter of his pr for the recovery of th outstandings due a the ryots of the Jodi village, Aroodibanava while it was attache and under the manag ment of Govt for th amount due to Gov towards the sum expe -d for the repairs of

Can

1999 Bg Memo No 1065-97-1-97

Mr R

24/7

Dy no 26/7.

Re to print.

Submitted

26/7/97

BK. 27-7-97

A draft reminder may be sent in similar cases

Mr 30/7/97 R.S.Y-28-29

Issued

30/7/97

of their villages.
The Petr states that
he was granted Endt.
No 1999; 97-1-97 intimating
that his petn had been
sent to the Dy Comrs
for report; that the
matter has not been
disposed of although
six or seven months
have elapsed.- that
he has been demanded
of the arrears due to Govt
for the years during which
the village was under Govt
management,- that the
outstanding due by the ryots
for the said period may be
recovered and utilized towards
the amount due by him to
Govt.- that if this is not feasible
he may be furnished with an
account showing the amounts due
by the ryots and instructed
as to the way he has to proceed
against the ryots for the recovery
of the sums due by them;- that
if his prayers were granted
he would recover the outstanding
and get the same credited
towards the arrears due to Govt.
Prays that (in case the above requests are not complied with,) a letter may be addressed
to the Dy Comrs to have the Kulkarni's account
prepared for the years during which the village
was under Govt management and to have the
amount realised during the said period
credited towards the arrears due by him and that he
may be furnished with an Endt.
17/97

17
200

Lent to the Petr
by the Society
One anna
stamp affixed
MKN
19.7.97

Refd

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

DP

Class: DP | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Month's Log No. 733

To Station: Mysore

From Station: Bangalore Fort

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instruction.
89	27	7	28	Dfd

To (Person): C. Seenivasiengar Esq. Secretary to Mysore Govt Camp Mysore

From (Person): N. Krishna Row Manager Jodidars Erodi Dodballapur Taluk Tum

R 59-80-30

Village ordered sale for arrears of durmukhi on twenty fourth debit stay Sale pending Revenue appeal before Govt

Recd. from ____ L. N. ____ Sent to ____

At ____ Hrs. ____ Mts. By ____ | At ____ Hrs. ____ Mts. By ____

Date

Postma

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.
Ordinary ,,	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.
Urgent ,,	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.

A group of 3, or less figures, is reckoned as one word.

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

201 of 97 memo no 2809 19/8/97. Hon
pursuant note 6.8.97 & 8.9.97.

11.X.
5/12/97 Rep to put up after receipt of
AK desired papers -
8/12/97.

Submitted
BK
7/12/97

Abolition of Commissioner
Return the telegraphic
pan to put up note
that a report has been
called for
Send this petn to Dy Comr
Mr. Cutts of the previous
to call for a fresh report
& expedite the report
MV 11/12/97

10 14/12/97 S. Pte
Drafted
16/12/97

91-00 Egs
2 0 8 00 2 8 8 90 0
15-12-97

7° 189 7 (37)

No. 1386

Name of Petitioner Nanjundappa
godidar of

Village Arodi

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 29-11-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure and one cover.

ABSTRACT

Representing to this office
Cover No. 1249 of 97 on his
petition dated 18-7-97 and
in continuation of his
telegrams to Govt, petr
begs to submit for the perusal
of Govt cover No 386 dated
16-9-97 on his petn to the
Dy Comr stating that
the petitioner when called
the arrears if any for on
the roots, himself and
submits that his lands
having been notified for sale
on the 2 of the ensuing 97
for the arrears of the

the 1/2 contributions amount due to Lunch from the petn.

~~Further states~~

Prays for equitable orders in the matter of his prayer for recovery of the arrears due to him from the Mahs. and in the meanwhile for stay of sale of his lands fixed for the 29th ~~1/2~~ Nov. 97

4/12/97

No. 1386.

The annexed paper is ...

Reference ...

592

14-9-77

COURT FEE

ONE ANNA

14.9.97

36

Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dear Sir -

Please put this up with the file which was returned to office yesterday.

I am
yours truly
B. Jerrard

3/12/97.

Forwarded to Mr Keating Geyer for his compliance
WCC
3/12/97

Telegram

1897 (38)

No. 1398

Name of Petitioner - Vaijnath dafty

Village Nandi Jagirdar

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi Telegram 30-11-97

Date of Receipt 30-11-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one reply paid

ABSTRACT

Re continuation of his leave on account of illness reported by telegram dated 27th inst. Submits certificate dated 29th inst from Civil Surgeon Aurangabad in support of his application.

30/11/97

Political Branch

Please submit this also to Govt.

(2d) C.S. 30/11/97

Request this may be put up with submission early.

(2d) V.R.T. 30/11/97

submitted
RK
30/11/97.

Sub to 2nd Councillor in Cntr

My 30/11/97

12.59-08-32

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.
Ordinary „	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.
Urgent „	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.

A group of 3, or less figures, is reckoned as one word.

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.



Class.

INDIA [illegible]

To

Station

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
34	30	10		

To (Person)

From (Person)

1398-R

30-11-87

CANGALORE. NOV 30 87

Rcvd. from L. N. | Sent to L. N.

At Hrs. Mts. By | At Hrs. Mts. By

Date

Postmaster.

Urgent 170

(39)

LR 69-88-33 to The Bloom Bangalore

4801 22.12.97 P.
P.663 2 gd

This file Pet- 5/11/97
1065
and subsequent reminders
have not been noticed
calling for report on
the petition of Narayanaswamy
& Ors - Banavath
Sadhalapura Latur.

Pet- 5/12/97
1320
Pet- 5/31/7/97
476

Hark.

In drawing attention
to the above kindly the
honour to request.
that further proceedings
in connection with the
sale of the vatans or

1 contribution
account of civilians due by the Jordans
to Govt should be stopped
stayed till the report
called for so long ago as
January 1897 is submitted

2 The Govt are constrained
to observe that this
long delay appears
prima facie inexcusable

By order
My
Secy

2/12/97

663

Gen. 31



4272
Pet 315

1807

From The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 10th
Despatched 11th } December 1897.
Received

General No.
No. 804

Enclosure No. 1 a file of
Ver Papers

Secy's Offl Proms No 1065
of the 9th January 1897.

Reports with records on petition from Manjappa Jodidar of Avadi & Banavate villages, Doddballapur Talk re the recovery of arrears due by ryots of the Jodi village

15-12-97

Resp put up.

dk
15/12/97

dbl
16/12/97

17/1/98
Mr Narasacher for perusal
17.1.98

Please peruse & dispose of the office correspondence as the Govt may desire, and note

dbl
21/1/98

Submd
21.1.98

LR 59-88-34

Submd to the Commr with papers
24/1/98

Rd 7 Pm.
24.1.98

15.12.97

1897

D. No. 804

Encl. 10 in file of Per. Papers

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th/11 December 1897.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. Get/1065 dated 9th January 1897 and subsequent reminders marginally noted, calling for a report with records on the petition of Nanjappa, Jodidar of Arodi and Banavati villages of the Dodballapur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows.

- No. Get/1320 dated 12th/1st February 1897.
- do. Get/176 dated 31st July 1897.
- do. 4801/Get 663 dated 2nd December 1897.

2. The tanks of the said villages were repaired by the Public Works Department owing to their being

in a series. The cost of these repairs was ordered to be recovered from the jodidars viz Rs. 6576 from the Arodi village jodidars and Rs. 4971 from those of the Banavati village. These sums not having been paid, the villages were brought under Government management; Arodi on 3rd December 1888 and Banavati on 10th August 1889.

3. The Government in their order * No. 11872 / Rev. A. 93-92 of the 21st January 1895, directed the villages to be made over to the Inamdars on the condition of the Arodi jodidars paying Rs. 1500 and the Banavati jodidars Rs. 500 at once and agreeing to pay the balance by annual instalments of Rs. 500. The amounts

* Govt. Res. 23. Dt. 9-3-92

collected from the jodidars since that order are shown hereunder.

	Arodi	Bamvati.
Amount of instalment due on 30th June 1895.	1500	500
Instalment for 1895/96.	500	500
—Do— for 1896-97.	500	500
Total	2500	1500.
Amount collected towards the above, till the close of 1896-97.	1722-4-3.	1730-1-2
Balance	777-11-9	- 230-1-2 excess recovery.

4. It is seen from the above that the jodidars of the Arodi village have failed to pay the instalments as directed in the Government order quoted above and have therefore lost all claim to the concessions granted by that order.

5. The amount still to be

recovered from the holders of the Arodi village on account of the tank repairs is (Rs. 6,576 — Rs. 1,722-4-3) = Rs. 4,853-11-9 and that due from Banavati village holders is (Rs. 4971 — Rs. 1730-1-2) = 3240-14-10.

6. The following is a statement showing the amount of jodi due and the amount recovered:-

	Arodi Rs. A. P.	Banavati Rs. A. P.
Arrears of jodi due to Government when the villages were handed over to the Inamdars in 1895.	2376-11-5	98-4-6
Jodi for 1894-95 including cess.	1512-1-3	391-8-0
—Do— for 1895-96	1512-1-3	391-8-0
—Do— for 1896-97	1512-1-3	391-8-0
Total	6912-14-10	1272-12-6
Amount of jodi recovered upto the close of 1896-97	3465-1-9	1008-0-10
Arrears of jodi still due	3447-13-1	264-14-8

7. Hence the total amounts due from the jodidars are as follows.-

	Arodi	Banavati
Arrears on account of tank repair	4863-11-9	3240-14-10
arrears of jodi —	3447-13-1	264-11-8
Total	8301-8-10	3505-10-6.

year is herewith submitted as required.

11. The petition under report and its enclosures are herewith returned together with the entire records of the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

WPH.

Two drafts 157

Proceedings of the Court
of Allesore
— 4 —

Read :-

Petition No. 1999 dated 18.12.96 and the subsequent petition of Ramjundappa Jodidar of Hodi and Ramavathi villages in the Gudibanda Taluk, appealing against the ordering the by Court Bangalore Dt. declining to grant the request of the petitioner to remit certain arrears of Revenue due to him from the ryots may be collected by Court on his behalf and credited towards the Jodi and the contribution amount due by him to Court.

LR.59.88-35

2. Letter No. 8074 dated 10th December 1897, from the Dy. Court Bangalore Dt., reporting on the above

3. The records of the case

order thereon

The Dt question requiring decision is whether

(258)

No. 9531. / P.F.93-92 / 4 dt. 1.4.98

which Govt through
its Dist authorities
should not help the
Jodidars in recovering
the arrears which accrued
during the periods of
when the villages were
under Government manage-
ment. Government
see no difficulty in
deciding this question in
affirmatively. The
Deputy Commissioner
should accordingly en-
deavour to help these Jodi
dars who have at present
the management of the villages
to collect these arrears
and in cases where
compulsory process is re-
quired to treat the arrears
as balance of Land revenue
and realise the same
under the Land Rev. Rules.

C 2

for Secretary

To.

29-3-98

The Dy Comr Bangalore

Dt 4-4-98

(41)

L.R. 59-88-86.

Rifle
8/8/98
Recd at 5 P.M.

278 of 98. P.T. 93 of 92

Mr R
7/8/98 The above file relating to the Subject is put up.

AK
11/8/98.
Submd

MR
1578/98

1) Comm's order not produced
2) Stamp unsufficient
Retd
15/8/98

Encl in pctn
17/8/98

P

Recd 93 of 92
8-8-98

1898 (43) 1.91

No. 275

Name of Petitioner Ramjiandanya

Village Jodiban.

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 26.7.98

Date of Receipt 30.7.98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT Yer: Rs 61

States that in obedience to Govt order No 1999, of 1st April last, he moved the Dy Com^r, Bangalore Dist, to issue necessary orders to collect arrears of Arodi and Baniavatti (Jodi villages; that the Dy Com^r directed the Amildar to do the work, who accordingly asked Pet^r to let him know what assistance was required by Govt that the Pet^r then intimated the Amildar that the balances of the above mentioned villages should be collected through the Govt agency, the amount being credit

credited to Govt towards last
years dues; that the Amildar who
did not consider it necessary
to comply with the request of the Petr
reported to the Collr that the villages
in question should be attached and sold;
that the sale accordingly has been accordingly
posted to 12th & 15th inst:

Pray that the said
Govt order may be given effect to;
that the amount may be credited
to Govt towards the arrears; & that
the villages may be released.

Solicits early orders
as the sale is
fast approaching.

K.S/8/98.